arXiv:1610.07628v1 [math.QA] 24 Oct 2016

Higher Categories and Topological Quantum Field Theories

Shawn X. Cui*

Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

October 26, 2016

Abstract

We construct a Turaev-Viro type invariant of smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds out of a G-crossed braided spherical fusion category (G-BSFC) for G a finite group. The construction can be extended to obtain a $(3+1)$-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT). The invariant of 4-manifolds generalizes several known invariants in literature such as the Crane-Yetter invariant from a ribbon fusion category and Yetter's invariant from homotopy 2-types. If the G-BSFC is concentrated only at the sector indexed by the trivial group element, a co-homology class in $H^4(G, U(1))$ can be introduced to produce a different invariant, which reduces to the twisted Dijkgraaf-Witten theory in a special case. Although not proven, it is believed that our invariants are strictly different from other known invariants. It remains to see if the invariants are sensitive to smooth structures. It is expected that the most general input to the construction of $(3+1)$-TQFTs is a spherical fusion 2-category. We show that a G-BSFC corresponds to a monoidal 2-category with certain extra structures, but these structures do not satisfy all the axioms of a spherical fusion 2-category given by M. Mackaay. Thus the question of what axioms properly define a spherical fusion 2-category is open.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 G-crossed Braided Spherical Fusion Categories (G-BSFCs) **5**
2.1 Definition of G-BSFCs . . . 5
2.2 Strictifying G-BSFCs . . . 7
2.3 Skeletonizing G-BSFCs . . . 11

3 Partition Function **14**
3.1 Definition of Partition Function I . . . 14
3.2 Definition of Partition Function II . . . 17
3.3 Definition of Partition Function III . . . 18

4 Examples and Variations **21**
4.1 Crane-Yetter Invariant . . . 21
4.2 Yetter's Invariant from Homotopy 2-types . . . 22
4.3 Trivial G-grading with a Trivial G-action . . . 24
4.4 Variation: Trivial G-grading . . . 24

5 Proof of Theorem 3.3 **26**
5.1 Invariance under Choice of Representatives . . . 26
5.2 Invariance under Change of Ordering . . . 28
5.3 Pachner Moves . . . 33
5.4 Invariance under Pachner Moves . . . 34

*Email: xingshan@stanford.edu

6 Monoidal 2-categories with Duals **40**

7 Open Questions and Future Directions **42**

1 Introduction

The notion of a topological quantum field theory (TQFT) was invented by E. Witten based on path integrals in physics [48] and was given a mathematical formulation in terms of axioms by M. Atiyah [1] in 1980s. Since then there has been a vast study of TQFTs both from the physics side and mathematics side. Throughout the paper, we work in the category of smooth oriented manifolds. Roughly speaking, for every positive integer d, a $(d+1)$-dimensional TQFT ($(d+1)$-TQFT for short) associates to every closed d-manifold a finite dimensional Hilbert space and to every $(d+1)$-manifold a vector in the Hilbert space corresponding to its boundary. These assignments should satisfy certain compatible properties as specified by the axioms. The empty set is considered as a closed d-manifold and the Hilbert space associated to it is required to be $\mathbb{C}$. Then a $(d+1)$-TQFT produces a complex scalar, called the partition function, for each closed $(d+1)$-manifold, and the scalar is an invariant of closed $(d+1)$-manifolds. This is an important application of TQFT to topology. In particular, it provides an approach to detecting smooth structures.

The study of TQFTs is closely related to higher category theories [33][2]. In general, a $(d+1)$-TQFT is to be described by the data of a d-category. On one hand, strict d-categories are well-defined for any d [17] [13], but this is insufficient for the purpose of TQFTs since many important d-categories are not strict and can not be strictified either [3]. On the other hand, weak d-categories are only rigorously defined for small d (such as $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$), and it is still controversial what should be the right notion of weak d-categories for higher d, although there have been many efforts in this direction [40] [4] [41]. In the following, by d-categories we always mean weak d-categories. Special d-categories can be obtained from k-categories with certain extra structures for $k < n$. For instance, a monoidal 1-category is a 2-category and a braided monoidal 1-category is a 3-category. Higher categories are natural resources for TQFTs as shown below. We first give a brief overview of some categorical constructions of $(2+1)$- and $(3+1)$-TQFTs.

There has been a fundamental achievement in $(2+1)$-TQFTs which builds a nontrivial connection between monoidal categories, Hopf algebras, and 3-manifolds. N. Reshetikhin and V. Turaev constructed an invariant of 3-manifolds using modular tensor categories, which is believed to be the mathematical realization of Witten's TQFT from non-abelian Chern-Simon theories [38]. V. Turaev and O. Viro gave a state-sum invariant of 3-manifolds (Turaev-Viro invariant) from a ribbon fusion category [44]. Later J. Barrett and B. Westbury generalized this construction (Turaev-Viro-Barrett-Westbury invariant) by using spherical fusion categories [8]. These invariants can all be extended to define a $(2+1)$-TQFT [1]. Apart from these categorical constructions, another approach is by using certain Hopf algebras, among which the Kuperberg invariant [32] and the Hennings invariant [28] [23] are non-semisimple generalizations of the Turaev-Viro invariant and the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant, respectively. A special case of the Kuperberg invariant (and also the Turaev-Viro invariant) reduces to the Dijkgraaf-Witten theory [15]. The study of (2+1)-TQFTs has led to applications in quantum groups, $3d$ topology, and knot theories. For example, the Turaev-Viro invariant can distinguish certain 3-manifolds which are homotopy equivalent.

Going one dimensional higher. The theory of $(3+1)$-TQFTs, however, is not understood as well as its counter-part in $(2+1)$ dimension. The Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant [15] in $(3+1)$ dimension, as well as in other dimensions, measures the number of group morphisms from the fundamental group of a closed 4-manifold to a given finite group. L. Crane and I. Frankel constructed a 4-manifold invariant out of some algebraic structure, called Hopf categories [9]. In [12], L. Crane and D. Yetter gave a state-sum invariant of 4-manifolds (Crane-Yetter invariant) using a semi-simple sub-quotient of the category of representations of $U_q(sl_2)$ for q a certain principal root of unity. L. Crane, L. Kauffman and D. Yetter generalized the construction for a ribbon fusion category [11].[2] The Walker-Wang model in [45] is a Hamiltonian realization in $3d$ of the Crane-Yetter invariant. The modular Crane-Yetter invariant, which is obtained from a modular tensor category, turns out to be a function of the Euler characteristics and the

[1] The Reshetikhin-Turaev TQFT has anomaly, but this is not the concern of this paper.

[2] In [11], it was called a semi-simple tortile category. The generalized invariant is still called in the Crane-Yetter invariant.

signature [10], and thus is a classical invariant. From a different direction, D. Yetter gave a construction of $(3+1)$-TQFT from homotopy 2-types [50], which is equivalent to a crossed module or a categorical group. Along a similar line, A. Kapustin [25] and M. Mackaay [35] obtained 4-manifold invariants from 2-groups with some additional structures. More recently, R. Kashaev produced a $(3+1)$-TQFT out of a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_N$ [26].

Topology in $4d$ is different from any other dimensions. $\mathbb{R}^4$ is the only Euclidean space accepting more than one smooth structure (infinitely many actually)[22]. After the work of M. Freedman [21], topological 4-manifolds are fairly well understood. However, classifying smooth 4-manifolds remains one of the most difficult open problems. Non-trivial $(3+1)$-TQFTs are rare since any such TQFT gives rise to an invariant of smooth 4-manifolds. The categorical constructions of invariants mentioned above are not known to be sensitive to smooth structures.

The main result of this paper is a state-sum construction of $(3+1)$-TQFTs from a G-crossed braided spherical fusion category (G-BSFC) where G is a finite group. The same category is also called G-ribbon category by V. Turaev [43] and G-equivariant category by A. Kirillov [29]. G-crossed braided spherical fusion categories were studied in [20][43][16][14] and also have applications in condensed matter physics [7] where it is the right language to describe topological phase of matter with symmetries and defects. Roughly, a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ consists of the following structures: (see Section 2 for detailed definitions)

1. $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is a spherical fusion category.
2. $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \bigoplus_{g\in G} \mathcal{C}_g$ is graded by G where $\mathcal{C}_g$ is a full subcategory and $\mathcal{C}_{g_1}\otimes\mathcal{C}_{g_2}\subset\mathcal{C}_{g_1g_2}$, $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$.
3. There is a G-action on $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ by pivotal functors such that for any $g, g' \in G$, the action of g maps $\mathcal{C}_{g'}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{gg'g^{-1}}$.
4. There is a crossed braiding
$$\{c_{X,Y} : X\otimes Y \longrightarrow {}^gY\otimes X \mid X\in\mathcal{C}_g, Y\in\mathcal{C}_G^\times\},$$
which satisfies certain compatibility conditions.

Given a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ and a closed oriented 4-manifold M, the procedure of constructing the invariant of M from $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ goes as follows. Take a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of M. A coloring $\mathcal{S}$ assigns an element of G to each 1-simplex and a simple object of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ to each 2- and 3-simplex. These assignments satisfy certain constraints. To avoid the distraction from technical details here, we simply present of the form of the partition function of M:

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M,\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\prod_{\Delta_4\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_4) \prod_{\Delta_2\in\mathcal{T}^2} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_2) \prod_{\Delta_0\in\mathcal{T}^0} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_0)}{\prod_{\Delta_3\in\mathcal{T}^3} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_3) \prod_{\Delta_1\in\mathcal{T}^1} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_1)},$$

where $\mathcal{T}^i$ is the set of i-simplices and $Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_i)$ is some factor associated to the i-simplex Δ_i. Thus for each coloring $\mathcal{S}$, every simplex in $\mathcal{T}$ contributes a factor to the partition function. Usually, the contributions from the top dimensional simplices are most important. See Section 3 for more details. The main theorem of the paper states:

Theorem 1.1 (Main, informal)**.** *The partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M,\mathcal{T})$ defined above is independent of the choice of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ and thus $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M) := Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M,\mathcal{T})$ is an invariant of closed smooth oriented 4-manifolds; moreover, the construction can be extended to obtain a $(3+1)$-TQFT.*

The following theorem shows that the 4-manifold invariant constructed here is rather rich and it generalizes several known categorical invariants in literature.

Theorem 1.2. • *If G is the trivial group, then $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is a ribbon fusion category and $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M)$ is equal to the Crane-Yetter invariant of M from $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$.*

- *If all the simple objects of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ are invertible, then $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ corresponds to a crossed module (or equivalently a categorical group), and $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M)$ is equal to the Yetter's invariant from the corresponding categorical group.*
- *If the G-action is trivial and $\mathcal{C}_g = 0$ for all $g \neq e \in G$, then again $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is a ribbon fusion category, and $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M)$ is the product of the untwisted Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant from G and the Crane-Yetter invariant from $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$.*

Further generalizations of our invariant are also possible. In particular, we show that if $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \bigoplus_{g\in G} \mathcal{C}_g$ is a G-BSFC such that $\mathcal{C}_g = 0$ for all $g \neq e$, then we can introduce a 4-cohomology class $\omega \in H^4(G, U(1))$ and the new partition function takes the form:

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M,\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\prod_{\Delta_4\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_4) \prod_{\Delta_2\in\mathcal{T}^2} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_2) \prod_{\Delta_0\in\mathcal{T}^0} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_0)}{\prod_{\Delta_3\in\mathcal{T}^3} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_3) \prod_{\Delta_1\in\mathcal{T}^1} Z_{\mathcal{S}}(\Delta_1)} \prod_{\Delta_4=(ijklm)\in\mathcal{T}^4} \omega(\mathcal{S}(ij),\mathcal{S}(jk),\mathcal{S}(kl),\mathcal{S}(lm))$$

Theorem 1.3. *The partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M,\mathcal{T})$ is again independent of the choice of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$, and is thus an invariant of closed smooth oriented 4-manifolds.*

As a special case, we have the the following proposition.

Proposition 1.4. *If the G-action is trivial and $\mathcal{C}_g = 0$ for all $g \neq e \in G$, then $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M,\mathcal{T})$ is the product of the ω-twisted Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant from G and the Crane-Yetter invariant from $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$.*

From a different perspective, in $(2+1)$ dimension, the typical state-sum model (Turaev-Viro-Barrett-Westbury invariant) involves a spherical fusion (1-)category. Thus in $(3+1)$ dimension, one would expect there to be a notion of 'spherical fusion 2-category' and all known categorical constructions of $4d$-invariants (TQFTs) should be a special case. Modoidal 2-categories are defined in [24], and monoidal 2-categories with duals are given in [5]. In [34], M. Mackaay proposed a definition of spherical fusion 2-categories [3] by introducing certain extra structures on monoidal 2-categories with duals. Based on his definition, he formally defined a 4-manifold invariant with a spherical fusion 2-category. However, as explained later, his definition is too restrictive and excluded many interesting examples. In particular, his construction does not cover the TQFTs from a G-BSFC due to the following proposition.

Proposition 1.5 (Informal)**.** *A fusion 2-category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with duals can be constructed from a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$, but $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ does not satisfy the axioms of a spherical fusion 2-category according to the definition in [34]*

Therefore, the problem of what should be a 'good' definition of spherical fusion 2-categories is still open. Another question worth thinking of is how powerful the $4d$ invariant constructed in this paper is. Is it sensitive to smooth structures? Is it related to the Donaldson/Seiberg-Witten invariant? In Section 7, we give more open questions regarding this aspect.

Lastly, G-BSFCs are not rare. In [16][31][30], it was proved that equivalent classes of G-BSFCs are in one-to-one correspondence, by equivariatization and de-equivariatization, with equivalent classes of spherical braided fusion categories containing $Rep(G)$ as a subcategory. Also, given a group morphism from G to the group of automorphisms of a unitary braided fusion category $\mathcal{C}$, if certain obstructions vanish, then $\mathcal{C}$ can be extended to a unitary G-crossed braided fusion category, which is also a G-BSFC, with $\mathcal{C}$ as the sector indexed by the trivial group element [20].

The structure of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a review of G-BSFCs. A G-BSFC can be understood either by embedding it into a strict G-BSFC (Section 2.2) or by extracting from it a set of discrete data satisfying certain equations (2.3). Section 3 is the core of the paper where three equivalent definitions of the partition function are given and the main theorem is stated. In Section 4, we give several examples of the invariants and also introduce an variation of the construction of the invariants. Section 5 contains the proof of the main theorem. In Section 6 we show that a monoidal 2-category with extra structures can be constructed from a G-BSFC. Finally in Section 7 we provide some open questions and future directions for research in this area.

[3] In [34] they are called non-degenerate finitely semi-simple semi-strict spherical 2-categories of non-zero dimension.

2 G-crossed Braided Spherical Fusion Categories (G-BSFCs)

We assume the readers are familiar with the concepts of categories with certain extra structures, such as tensor (monoidal) categories, fusion categories, spherical categories, ribbon categories, etc. We use the word 'monoidal' and 'tensor' interchangeably. There are a number of excellent references developing these concepts. See for instance [27][18] [6] [19] [46]. Since our main object to use is a G-crossed braided spherical fusion category (G-BSFC) where G is a finite group, we give a review of such categories. See [29][43] for more detailed discussions. But notice that these categories are called G-ribbon categories in [43] and G-equivariant categories in [29]. Some conventions from this paper are also different from the references.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, denote the set of objects by $\mathcal{C}^0$ and the set of morphisms by $\mathcal{C}^1$. We follow the convention that compositions in a category are read from right to left, namely, if $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y), g \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y,Z)$, then $g \circ f \in \mathrm{Hom}(X,Z)$. The identity map on an object X is denoted by I_A, or Id_A. The subscript will often be dropped. Throughout the paper, G denotes a finite group.

2.1 Definition of G-BSFCs

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, a, l, r)$ be a tensor category, where a, l, r are the structure isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{X,Y,Z} :&(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \otimes (Y \otimes Z), \\ l_X :&\mathbf{1} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\simeq} X, \\ r_X :&X \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}^0, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy the Pentagon Identity and Triangle Identity. When no confusion arises, we often drop the structure symbols and claim $\mathcal{C}$ as a tensor category.

Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ the tensor category where objects are tensor auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$, morphisms are natural transformations, and tensor product of two tensor equivalences are compositions of functors. Denote by $\underline{G}$ the tensor category where the objects are elements of G and tensor product is given by group multiplication. There is only one morphism, the identity map, from an object to itself, and no morphism between different objects.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category, a G-action on $\mathcal{C}$ is a tensor functor $\underline{G} \longrightarrow Aut_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$.*

The above definition is a concise way to describe a G-action. To be more clear about it, we unpack the definition into a set of specific axioms. Let

$$(F, \eta, \epsilon) : \underline{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$$

be a tensor functor. For $g \in G, X \in \mathcal{C}^0, f \in \mathcal{C}^1$, we often write $F(g)(X)$ and $F(g)(f)$ as ${}^gX$ and ${}^gf$, respectively. For each object $g \in \underline{G}^0$, i.e., $g \in G$, $F(g)$ is an tensor auto-equivalence, and thus it is endowed with natural isomorphisms:

$$\gamma_{g;X,Y} : {}^g(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^gX \otimes {}^gY \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_g : {}^g\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{1},$$

which are compatible with the tensor structures of $\mathcal{C}$.

Since (F, η, ϵ) is a tensor functor, there is a natural isomorphism $\eta_{g,h} : F(gh) \longrightarrow F(g) \circ F(h)$ and a natural isomorphism $\epsilon : F(e) \longrightarrow Id_\mathcal{C}$. Or more specifically, for each $X \in \mathcal{C}^0$, there is an isomorphism $\eta_{g,h;X} : {}^{gh}X \longrightarrow {}^g({}^hX)$ and $\epsilon_X : {}^eX \longrightarrow X$, such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{gh}X & \xrightarrow{\eta_{g,h;X}} & {}^g({}^hX) \\ {\scriptstyle {}^{gh}f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle {}^g({}^hf)} \\ {}^{gh}Y & \xrightarrow[\eta_{g,h;Y}]{} & {}^g({}^hY) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} {}^eX & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_X} & X \\ {\scriptstyle {}^ef}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ {}^eY & \xrightarrow[\epsilon_Y]{} & Y \end{array} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
{}^{gh}(X\otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{g,h;X\otimes Y}} & {}^{g}({}^{h}(X\otimes Y)) & \xrightarrow{{}^{g}(\gamma_{h;X,Y})} & {}^{g}({}^{h}X\otimes {}^{h}Y) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{gh;X,Y}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{g;{}^{h}X,{}^{h}Y}} \\
{}^{gh}X\otimes {}^{gh}Y & & \xrightarrow[\eta_{g,h;X}\otimes\eta_{g,h;Y}]{} & & {}^{g}({}^{h}X)\otimes {}^{g}({}^{h}Y)
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
{}^{e}(X\otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{X\otimes Y}} & X\otimes Y \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{e;X,Y}} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon_X\otimes\epsilon_Y} & \\
{}^{e}X\otimes {}^{e}Y & &
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}^{ghk}X & \xrightarrow{\eta_{gh,k;X}} & {}^{gh}({}^{k}X) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta_{g,hk;X}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta_{g,h;{}^{k}X}} \\
{}^{g}({}^{hk}X) & \xrightarrow[{}^{g}(\eta_{h,k;X})]{} & {}^{g}({}^{h}({}^{k}X))
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}^{ge}X & \xrightarrow{\eta_{g,e;X}} & {}^{g}({}^{e}X) \\
& {\scriptstyle I_{gX}}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle {}^{g}(\epsilon_X)} \\
& & {}^{g}X
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
{}^{eg}X & \xrightarrow{\eta_{e,g;X}} & {}^{e}({}^{g}X) \\
& {\scriptstyle I_{gX}}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon_{{}^{g}X}} \\
& & {}^{g}X
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

Definition 2.2. *A G-crossed braided spherical fusion category (G-BSFC) is a G-graded spherical fusion category $\mathcal{C}_G^{\times}=\bigoplus_{g\in G}\mathcal{C}_g$ together with a G-action and a family of natural isomorphisms*

$$\{c_{X,Y}: X\otimes Y\longrightarrow {}^{g}Y\otimes X \mid X\in\mathcal{C}_g, Y\in\mathcal{C}_G^{\times}\}, \tag{5}$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. *Each $\mathcal{C}_g$, called the g-sector, is a full subcategory. The only morphism between two objects from different sectors is the zero morphism. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_g\otimes\mathcal{C}_{g'}\subset\mathcal{C}_{gg'}$ and ${}^{g}(\mathcal{C}_{g'})\subset\mathcal{C}_{gg'g^{-1}}$.*
2. *The $c_{X,Y}$'s, called crossed braiding, are self consistent, namely, for $X\in\mathcal{C}_g, Y\in\mathcal{C}_h, Z\in\mathcal{C}_k$, the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (X\otimes Y)\otimes Z & & \\
 & {\scriptstyle c_{X,Y}\otimes I}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle a_{X,Y,Z}} & \\
({}^{g}Y\otimes X)\otimes Z & & & & X\otimes(Y\otimes Z) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{{}^{g}Y,X,Z}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_{X,Y\otimes Z}} \\
{}^{g}Y\otimes(X\otimes Z) & & & & {}^{g}(Y\otimes Z)\otimes X \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle I\otimes c_{X,Z}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{g;Y,Z}\otimes I} \\
{}^{g}Y\otimes({}^{g}Z\otimes X) & & \xleftarrow[a_{{}^{g}Y,{}^{g}Z,X}]{} & & ({}^{g}Y\otimes {}^{g}Z)\otimes X
\end{array} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & ({}^{h}({}^{k}X)\otimes Y)\otimes Z & & \\
 & {\scriptstyle c^{-1}_{Y,{}^{k}X}\otimes I}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle (\eta^{-1}_{h,k;X}\otimes I)\otimes I} & \\
(Y\otimes {}^{k}X)\otimes Z & & & & ({}^{hk}X\otimes Y)\otimes Z \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{Y,{}^{k}X,Z}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{{}^{hk}X,Y,Z}} \\
Y\otimes({}^{k}X\otimes Z) & & & & {}^{hk}X\otimes(Y\otimes Z) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle I\otimes c^{-1}_{Z,X}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c^{-1}_{Y\otimes Z,X}} \\
Y\otimes(Z\otimes X) & & \xleftarrow[a_{Y,Z,X}]{} & & (Y\otimes Z)\otimes X
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

3. *The isomorphism $c_{X,Y}$ is natural, namely, for $X, X' \in \mathcal{C}_g, Y, Y' \in \mathcal{C}_{g'}, \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(X, X'), \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y, Y')$, we have,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X\otimes Y & \xrightarrow{\phi\otimes I} & X'\otimes Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_{X,Y}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_{X',Y}} \\ {}^gY\otimes X & \xrightarrow{I\otimes\phi} & {}^gY\otimes X' \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X\otimes Y & \xrightarrow{I\otimes\psi} & X\otimes Y' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_{X,Y}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_{X,Y'}} \\ {}^gY\otimes X & \xrightarrow{{}^g\psi\otimes I} & {}^gY'\otimes X \end{array} \tag{8}$$

4. *The G-action is consistent with the crossed braiding, namely, for $X \in \mathcal{C}_g, Y \in \mathcal{C}_G^\times$, the diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^{g'}(X\otimes Y) & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\gamma_{g';X,Y}\qquad\qquad} & & {}^{g'}X\otimes {}^{g'}Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle {}^{g'}(c_{X,Y})} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_{{}^{g'}X,{}^{g'}Y}} \\ {}^{g'}({}^gY\otimes X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{{}^{g'}Y,X}} & {}^{g'}({}^gY)\otimes {}^{g'}X & \xrightarrow[\eta^{-1}_{g',g;Y}\otimes I]{} & {}^{g'g}Y\otimes {}^{g'}X \xrightarrow[\eta_{g'gg'^{-1},g';Y}\otimes I]{} {}^{g'gg'^{-1}}({}^{g'}Y)\otimes {}^{g'}X \end{array} \tag{9}$$

5. *The G-action is consistent with the pivotal structure. That is, if $\delta_X : X \longrightarrow X^{**}$ is the pivotal structure, then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^gX & \xrightarrow{{}^g(\delta_X)} & {}^g(X^{**}) \\ & \searrow^{\delta_{{}^gX}} & \downarrow\simeq \\ & & ({}^gX)^{**} \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where the vertical arrow in the above diagram represents the canonical isomorphism induced by the fact that the action of g is a tensor functor.

Note that if G is trivial, then the definitions above are the same as those of spherical braided fusion categories, so a $\{e\}$-BSFC is simply a spherical braided fusion category, or a ribbon fusion category. It should be noted that in general a G-BSFC is not a braided tensor category. However, the sector $\mathcal{C}_e$ is always a ribbon fusion category. Also note that here we do not require the grading to be faithful. For instance, one can take an arbitrary ribbon fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and set $\mathcal{C}_e = \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_g = 0, g \neq e$, then $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \bigoplus_{g\in G} \mathcal{C}_g = \mathcal{C}$ is a G-BSFC with the trivial G-action. More interesting examples will be provided in Section 4.

There are two opposite directions to study a G-BSFC. One direction is strictifying a G-BSFC, where one shows a G-BSFC is equivalent, in some properly defined sense, to a G-BSFC in which the structure isomorphisms $a_{X,Y,Z}$, l_X, r_X, $\gamma_{g;X,Y}$, σ_g, $\eta_{g,h;X}$, ϵ_X are all the identity map. A G-BSFC is called strict if it satisfies the above properties. The advantage of using strict G-BSFCs is that it is easy to deduce identities among morphisms and moreover, identities which hold in a strict category also hold in a non-strict category equivalent to it after appropriately inserting certain structure isomorphisms. The other direction is skeletonizing a G-BSFC, where a representative for each isomorphism class of simple objects is chosen, and the category is described by a set of discrete data which satisfy some equations involving the representatives. This method is useful when one needs to perform calculations in a category. For instance, in the $(3+1)$-TQFT to be constructed in Section 3, the discrete data will be used when computing the partition function of a 4-manifold. We elaborate these two concepts in Section 2.2 and Section 2.3, respectively.

2.2 Strictifying G-BSFCs

A G-BSFC is called strict, if it is a strict spherical fusion category and the structure isomorphisms $\gamma_{g;X,Y}$, σ_g, $\eta_{g,h;X}$, ϵ_X are the identity map. Every G-BSFC is equivalent to a strict one as indicated in the following theorem. Roughly speaking, an equivalence of G-BSFCs is an equivalence as a tensor functor and preserves all additional structures, e.g., crossed braiding, G-action, etc. For the rigorous definition, see [36].



Figure 1: Example of a graph diagram

Theorem 2.3. *[36] Let $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ be a G-BSFC, then there exists a strict G-BSFC $\mathcal{D}$ and an equivalence $F : \mathcal{C}_G^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of G-BSFCs.*

In a strict G-BSFC, it is convenient to represent morphisms with colored graph diagrams. We only list the basic rules for this representation. For detailed treatment, see [42]. But note that we will follow a slightly different convention.

A graph diagram is a collection of rectangles [4], immersed segments, and immersed circles in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. The segments and circles are called 1-strata of the diagram. The following conditions are required to satisfy:

- All rectangles are disjoint from each other and lie in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$. For simplicity, we also assume the longer sides of each rectangle are parallel to $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ (i.e., horizontal) and the shorter sides vertical to $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$.
- The 1-strata ends either on $\mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ or on the horizontal sides of a rectangle. The interior of the 1-strata lies in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$ and does not intersect with any rectangle.
- The 1-strata are directed and may only have double crossings in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$ with over/under crossing data.

See Figure 1 for an example of a graph diagram. Usually we will not draw the dashed lines representing $\mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ explicitly, and assume that the bottom (resp. top) of a graph diagram is bounded by the line $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$).

Given a graph diagram $\mathcal{G}$, we will think of the 1-strata broken at the under crossings, namely, the 1-strata decomposing into a collection of arcs, where each arc starts and ends either at an under crossing or at one of the end points of the 1-strata. For instance, the graph diagram in Figure 1 contains seven arcs. Denote by $\mathcal{G}^0$, $\mathcal{G}^1$, $\mathcal{G}^2$ the set of crossings, arcs, rectangles, respectively.

If $A \in \mathcal{C}_g$ is an object, denote $|A| = g$. A $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$-coloring of a graph diagram $\mathcal{G}$ is an assignment $(\{\psi_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{G}^0\}, \{A_\beta : \beta \in \mathcal{G}^1\}, \{f_\gamma : \gamma \in \mathcal{G}^2\})$ where the ψ_α's, f_γ's are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ and the A_β's are homogeneous objects of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- For each rectangle γ, denote by $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_m$ the set of arcs incident to the bottom of γ, and by $\beta^1, \cdots, \beta^n$ the set of arcs incident to the top of γ both listed from left to right. For each β_i (resp. β^j), define ϵ_i (resp. ϵ^j) to be $+1$ if β_i (resp. β^j) is directed downwards near γ, and -1 otherwise. Let

$$A_\gamma := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} A_{\beta_i}^{\epsilon_i}, \qquad A^\gamma := \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} A_{\beta^j}^{\epsilon^j},$$

  where for an object B, $B^{+1} := B$ and $B^{-1} := B^*$. If $m = 0$, define $A_\gamma := \mathbf{1}$. Similarly if $n = 0$, define $A^\gamma := \mathbf{1}$. Then we require f_γ to be a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}(A_\gamma, A^\gamma)$. For instance, in Figure 2 (a), we represent the coloring by putting an object on the side of each arc and a morphism inside each rectangle. Then $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_3^*, B_1^* \otimes B_2)$.

[4] In [42], they are called coupons.



Figure 2: Colorings of a graph diagram



Figure 3: Interpretations of a graph diagram

- There are two types of crossings, the positive crossing (Figure 2 (b)) and the negative crossing (Figure 2 (c)). Again, we represent the coloring by putting an object beside each arc and a morphism beside each crossing. Let the arc corresponding to the over crossing, the arc entering the under crossing, and the arc leaving the under crossing be colored by the objects A, B_1, and B_2, respectively. In the case of a positive crossing, we require ψ to be an isomorphism ${}^{|A|}B_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} B_1$, and in the case of a negative crossing, we require ψ to be an isomorphism ${}^{|A|}B_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} B_2$. We use the convention that if $\psi = I$, then we drop it from the diagram.

From the second condition of the coloring we have $|A|\,|B_2| = |B_1|\,|A|$ for a positive crossing and $|B_2|\,|A| = |A|\,|B_1|$ for a negative crossing. It is not hard to see that a coloring of $\mathcal{G}$ determines a group morphism from $\pi_1(\mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{G})$ to G. Given a coloring of $\mathcal{G}$, let $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_m$ (resp. $\beta^1, \cdots, \beta^n$) be the set of arcs intersecting with $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$) listed from left to right, and similarly define ϵ_i, ϵ^j for each arc β_i, β^j as in the definition of a coloring. Let

$$A_{\mathcal{G}} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} A_{\beta_i}^{\epsilon_i}, \qquad A^{\mathcal{G}} := \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} A_{\beta^j}^{\epsilon^j}.$$

And again let $A_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{1}$ if $m = 0$ and $A^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{1}$ if $n = 0$. By [42], a $\mathcal{C}_G^{\times}$-colored graph diagram $\mathcal{G}$ can be interpreted as a morphism of $\mathcal{C}_G^{\times}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(A_{\mathcal{G}}, A^{\mathcal{G}})$. The rules of the interpretation are as follows:

- If $\mathcal{G}$ is one of the graph diagrams listed in Figure 3, then it is interpreted as the morphism shown below the corresponding diagram.
- If $\mathcal{G}$ contains a single rectangle and a set of arcs each of which is either a vertical segment connecting $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ and the lower side of the rectangle or a vertical segment connecting $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$ and the upper side of the rectangle, (see Figure 2 (a) for instance), then it is interpreted as the coloring labeling the rectangle.
- Stacking of a graph diagram on top of another corresponds to composition of morphisms they represent, and juxtaposition of diagrams corresponds to tensor product of morphisms.

Moreover, the morphism represented by a colored diagram is invariant under regular isotopies of the diagram, some of which are drawn in Figures 4 5, where for simplicity we assume the coloring at each crossing is the identity map. We refer the readers to [42] for the treatment of more general cases.

Similar to the case of braided spherical fusion categories, here we can also define the twist $\theta_A : A \longrightarrow {}^{|A|}A$ for homogeneous object A by the diagram in Figure 6:

The following proposition is parallel to the properties of the regular twist.

Proposition 2.4. *[29] In a G-BSFC, the twist $\theta_{(\cdot)}$ satisfies:*



Figure 4: Regular isotopies of a graph diagram (I)



Figure 5: Regular isotopies of a graph diagram (II). Note that in (b), $|A| = |B|$.



Figure 6: The twist θ_A



Figure 7: Graphical definition and normalizations of B_{ab}^c and B_c^{ab}

1. $\theta_{A\otimes B} = (\theta_{gh\bar{g}A} \otimes \theta_{gB}) \circ c_{gB,A} \circ c_{A,B}$, $A \in \mathcal{C}_g, B \in \mathcal{C}_h$;
2. $\theta_{A^*} = (\theta_A)^*$;
3. $\theta_{\mathbf{1}} = I$;
4. ${}^h(\theta_A) = \theta_{{}^hA}$.

2.3 Skeletonizing G-BSFCs

Let $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ be a G-BSFC, and let $\tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$ be a complete set of representatives of simple objects, namely, $\tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$ contains a representative for each isomorphism class of simple objects. We assume $\tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$ is closed under the G-action and taking duals. If $a \in \mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is an object from the sector $\mathcal{C}_g$, denote $|a| = g$. For simplicity, we also assume $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is multiplicity free. The data can be easily adjusted to apply to the general case. A convention within this subsection is that all the summation variables are assumed in the range of $\tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$ unless otherwise stated. *An undirected graph diagram means all the segments are directed downwards.* A G-BSFC can be described by the data $(N_{ab}^c, F_{d;nm}^{abc}, U_g(a,b;c), \eta_a(g,h), R_c^{ab})$ where $a,b,c,d,m,n \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$, $g,h \in G$.

Fusion rule: for $a,b \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$,

$$a \otimes b \simeq \bigoplus_c N_{ab}^c\, c, \quad N_{ab}^c = 0,1 \tag{11}$$

Given $a,b,c \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$, the triple (a,b,c) is called *admissible* if $N_{ab}^c = 1$. In this case the morphism spaces $\mathrm{Hom}(c, a\otimes b)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(a\otimes b, c)$ are both one-dimensional and we choose a basis element $B_c^{ab} \in \mathrm{Hom}(c, a\otimes b)$, $B_{ab}^c \in \mathrm{Hom}(a\otimes b, c)$ so that they satisfy the following normalization conditions: [5]

$$B_{ab}^{c'} \circ B_c^{ab} = \delta_{c,c'} Id_c \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_c B_c^{ab} \circ B_{ab}^c = Id_{a\otimes b}, \tag{12}$$

where B_{ab}^c and B_c^{ab} are defined to be zero whenever $N_{ab}^c = 0$. See Figure 7 for their graphical representations.

***F*-symbol (6*j*-symbol)**: for any $a,b,c,d \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$, when $\mathrm{Hom}(d, a\otimes b\otimes c) \neq 0$, it has two set of bases:

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{(B_m^{ab} \otimes I) \circ B_d^{mc} \mid m \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times), (a,b,m), (m,c,d) \text{ admissible}\}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \{(I \otimes B_n^{bc}) \circ B_d^{an} \mid n \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times), (b,c,n), (a,n,d) \text{ admissible}\}$$

These two bases are represented graphically in Figure 8. The matrix relating the two bases is called F-symbol or $6j$-symbol. Explicitly, given a 6-tuple $(a,b,c,d,m,n) \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)^6$, if $(a,b,m),(m,c,d),(b,c,n),(a,n,d)$ are all admissible, (we say the 6-tuple is admissible) then the $F_{d;nm}^{abc}$ and $(F^{-1})_{d;mn}^{abc}$ are defined as shown in Figure 9 and 10, respectively. Otherwise, $F_{d;nm}^{abc} = (F^{-1})_{d;mn}^{abc} = 0$. By definition, if the 6-tuple (a,b,c,d,m,n) is admissible, we have,

$$\sum_k F_{d;nk}^{abc} (F^{-1})_{d;km}^{abc} = \delta_{n,m}.$$



$\mathcal{B}_1$ $\mathcal{B}_2$

Figure 8: Two bases $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$



Figure 9: Definition of the F-symbol



Figure 10: Definition of the F^{-1}-symbol



Figure 11: Definition of U-symbol



Figure 12: Definition of the R-symbol R_c^{ba}

***U*-symbol**: for any $g \in G, a, b, c \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^{\times})$ such that (a, b, c) is admissible, ${}^g(B_c^{ab})$ is a scalar multiple of $B_{{}^g c}^{{}^g a\, {}^g b}$. Denote this scalar by $U_g(a, b; c)$. Graphically, this is represented by Figure 11.

***η*-symbol**: for $g, h \in G, a \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^{\times})$, $\eta_a(g, h)$ is the scalar such that $\eta_a(g, h)Id$ represents the isomorphism $\eta(g, h; a)$ from ${}^{gh}a$ to ${}^g({}^h a)$.

***R*-symbol**: if (a, b, c) is admissible, then R_c^{ab} is defined to be the scalar such that $c_{a,b} \circ B_c^{ab} = R_c^{ab} B_c^{{}^{|a|}ba}$, or equivalently $c_{a,b}^{-1} \circ B_c^{{}^{|a|}ba} = (R_c^{ab})^{-1} B_c^{ab}$. Note that here ${}^a b$ means ${}^g b$ for $a \in \mathcal{C}_g$. See Figure 12 for a graphical definition of the R-symbol.

Translating the axioms of a G-BSFC to the data $(N_{ab}^c, F_{d;nm}^{abc}, U_g(a, b; c), \eta_a(g, h), R_c^{ab})$, we arrive at Equations 13 - 20, where $a, b, c, d, m, n, l, p, q \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^{\times})$, $g, h, k \in G$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m N_{ab}^m N_{mc}^d &= \sum_n N_{bc}^n N_{an}^d \\ N_{ab}^c &= N_{aba}^c \\ N_{ab}^c &= N_{{}^g a\, {}^g b}^{{}^g c} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$F_{f;qn}^{mcd} F_{f;pm}^{abq} = \sum_l F_{n;lm}^{abc} F_{f;pn}^{ald} F_{p;ql}^{bcd} \tag{14}$$

$$F_{{}^g d;{}^g n\, {}^g m}^{{}^g a\, {}^g b\, {}^g c} = F_{d;nm}^{abc} \frac{U_g(b, c; n) U_g(a, n; d)}{U_g(a, b; m) U_g(m, c; d)} \tag{15}$$

$$\eta_a(gh, k)\eta_{{}^k a}(g, h) = \eta_a(g, hk)\eta_a(h, k) \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\eta_a(g, h)\eta_b(g, h)}{\eta_c(g, h)} = \frac{U_g({}^h a, {}^h b; {}^h c) U_h(a, b; c)}{U_{gh}(a, b; c)} \tag{17}$$

$$R_m^{ab} F_{d;nm}^{{}^{|a|}bac} R_n^{ac} = \sum_l F_{d;lm}^{abc} U_{|a|}(b, c; l) R_d^{al} F_{d;n^{|a|}l}^{{}^{|a|}b\, {}^{|a|}ca} \tag{18}$$

[5]The normalization conditions here are different from those in the physics literature where they take $B_{ab}^{c'} \circ B_c^{ab} = \sqrt{\frac{d_a d_b}{d_c}}$. But the data to be described below will be the same under the two normalizations.

$$(R_m^{b^{|c|}a})^{-1}F_{d;n,m}^{b^{|c|}ac}(R_n^{ca})^{-1}=\sum_l \eta_a(|b|,|c|)^{-1}F_{d;lm}^{^{|b||c|}abc}(R_d^{la})^{-1}F_{d;nl}^{bca} \tag{19}$$

$$R_{g_c}^{{}^{g}a\,{}^{g}b}=R_c^{ab}\frac{U_g({}^{|a|}b,a;c)\eta_b(g|a|g^{-1},g)}{U_g(a,b;c)\eta_b(g,|a|)} \tag{20}$$

In Section 3.3, we will give a formula of the partition function of a closed 4-manifold in terms of the data mentioned above.

3 Partition Function

In this section we introduce the key construction of the 4-manifold invariants from a G-BSFC. The invariant, called partition function, is a state-sum model and a $4d$ analogue of the Tuaev-Viro-Barrett-Westbury invariant. Although we will only describe the invariants for oriented closed 4-manifolds, it is straight forward to extend the construction to produce a $(3+1)$-TQFT. See [47] for a Hamiltonian realization of this TQFT. By 4-manifolds we always mean closed oriented smooth 4-manifolds unless otherwise stated. In Section 3.1 3.2 3.3, three equivalent definitions of the partition function based on ordered triangulations will be given. To show this indeed defines an invariant of 4-manifolds, one needs to prove the partition function is independent of the choice of ordered triangulations. Considering the proof is quite technical and lengthy, we defer it to Section 5.

3.1 Definition of Partition Function I

An ordered triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of a 4-manifold M is a triangulation of M with an ordering of its vertices by $0,1,2,\cdots$. For $k=0,1,2,3,4$, let $\mathcal{T}^k$ be the set of k-simplices. The restriction of the ordering on each k-simplex $\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^k$ induces a relative ordering of the vertices of σ. Under this relative ordering, we write σ as $(0\ 1\ \cdots\ k-1)$ and any n-face of σ as $(i_1\ i_2\ \cdots\ i_n)$, $0\le i_1<\cdots<i_n\le k-1$. There is no loss of generality since the definition of the partition function only depends on the relative ordering as shall be seen below. For each $\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4$, define the sign, $\epsilon(\sigma)$, of σ to be '+' if the orientation on σ induced from M coincides with the one determined by the relative ordering of its vertices; $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is defined to be '$-$' otherwise. Let $\mathcal{C}_G^\times=\bigoplus_{g\in G}\mathcal{C}_g$ be a G-BSFC, and let $L(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects.

Definition 3.1. *A $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$-coloring of $(M,\mathcal{T})$ is a pair of maps $F=(g,f)$, $g:\mathcal{T}^1\to G$, $f:\mathcal{T}^2\to L(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$, such that for any simplex $\beta=(012)\in\mathcal{T}^2$ with the induced ordering on its vertices,*

$$f(012)\in\mathcal{C}_{g(02)^{-1}g(01)g(12)}.$$

Given a $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$-coloring $F=(g,f)$, for each $\beta=(012)\in\mathcal{T}^2$, we arbitrarily choose a representative in the class $f(012)$ and denote the representative by f_{012} or just 012 when no confusion arises. For an edge (ij), we also denote $g(ij)$ by g_{ij} or ij, $g(ij)^{-1}$ by $\overline{g}_{ij}$ or $\overline{ij}$.

Assume now a representative for the color of each 2-simplex has been chosen. Let $\tau=(0123)\in\mathcal{T}^3$ be any 3-simplex with the induced ordering on its vertices, and consider the boundary map:

$$\partial(0123)=(123)-(023)+(013)-(012).$$

We assign to $\tau=(0123)$ two vector spaces:

$$V_F^+(0123):=\mathrm{Hom}(f_{023}\otimes{}^{\overline{g}_{23}}f_{012},f_{013}\otimes f_{123}),$$

$$V_F^-(0123):=\mathrm{Hom}(f_{013}\otimes f_{123},f_{023}\otimes{}^{\overline{g}_{23}}f_{012}).$$

Note that by the definition of a coloring, the objects $f_{023} \otimes {}^{\overline{g}_{23}} f_{012}$ and $f_{013} \otimes f_{123}$ are both in the sector $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{03} g_{01} g_{12} g_{23}}$. This is a necessary requirement since otherwise the spaces $V_F^+(0123)$ and $V_F^-(0123)$ would equal 0.

For any two objects X, Y in a fusion category, a pairing on $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) \times \mathrm{Hom}(Y,X)$ is defined as:

$$\begin{array}{rl} \langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) \times \mathrm{Hom}(Y,X) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\ \phi \quad , \quad \psi\ ) & \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}(\phi\psi) \end{array} \tag{21}$$

The pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is non-degenerate, and thus induces a natural isomorphism between $V_F^+(0123)$ and $V_F^-(0123)^*$, $V_F^-(0123)$ and $V_F^+(0123)^*$.

Let $\sigma = (01234) \in \mathcal{T}^4$ be any 4-simplex with the induced ordering on its vertices, consider the boundary map:

$$\partial(01234) = (1234) - (0234) + (0134) - (0124) + (0123).$$

We define $Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ as follows. If $\epsilon(\sigma) = +$, we first define a linear functional

$$\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234) : V_F^+(0234) \otimes V_F^+(0124) \otimes V_F^-(1234) \otimes V_F^-(0134) \otimes V_F^-(0123) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by the graph diagram shown in Figure 13 (Left), the meaning of which requires some explanations. This is a graph diagram as defined in Section 2.2 where the segments are implicitly colored and directed, but the rectangles are not. The three long vertical segments on the right part of the diagram (which corresponds to taking the trace) are directed upwards, and all other segments are directed downwards. The colors of the segment are assigned in such a way that any morphism from the morphism space indicated in a rectangle can be used to color the rectangle. For instance, for the rectangle containing $V_F^+(0234) = \mathrm{Hom}(034 \otimes {}^{\overline{34}}023, 024 \otimes 234)$, the four segments incident to it, (lower left, lower right, upper left, upper right), are colored by $(034,\ {}^{\overline{34}}023,\ 024,\ 234)$, respectively. Note that here (034) really denotes f_{034}. For the top rectangle containing $V_F^-(0123)$, the $\overline{g}_{34}$ on the upper left corner means the action of $\overline{g}_{34}$ on $V_F^-(0123)$. Since $V_F^-(0123) = \mathrm{Hom}(013 \otimes 123, 023 \otimes {}^{\overline{23}}012)$, the four segments incident to the top rectangle, (lower left, lower right, upper left, upper right) are colored by $({}^{\overline{34}}013,\ {}^{\overline{34}}123, {}^{\overline{34}}023, {}^{\overline{34 \cdot 23}}013)$, respectively. In the case of a strict G-BSFC, the only crossing in the diagram is colored by the identity map. In the non-strict case, it is colored by some natural isomorphism involving the $\eta_a(g,h)$'s defined in Section 2.3. One can check the above rules of coloring are compatible. Given an element $\phi_0 \otimes \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3 \otimes \phi_4$ in the domain of $\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)$, we now color each rectangle by some ϕ_i which is from the space the rectangle contains. The resulting colored graph diagram can be interpreted as a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ according to Section 2.2. Since any such morphism is a scalar times $Id_{\mathbf{1}}$, we define $\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)(\phi_0 \otimes \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3 \otimes \phi_4)$ to be that scalar, or less rigorously to be the colored graph diagram.

Using the non-degenerate pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$, this defines a linear map $Z_F^+(01234)$:

$$Z_F^+(01234) : V_F^+(0234) \otimes V_F^+(0124) \longrightarrow V_F^+(1234) \otimes V_F^+(0134) \otimes V_F^+(0123),$$

such that

$$\langle Z_F^+(01234)(\phi_0 \otimes \phi_1), \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3 \otimes \phi_4 \rangle = \tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)(\phi_0 \otimes \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3 \otimes \phi_4)$$

.

Similarly, if $\epsilon(\sigma) = -$, consider instead the functional

$$\tilde{Z}_F^-(01234) : V_F^-(0234) \otimes V_F^-(0124) \otimes V_F^+(1234) \otimes V_F^+(0134) \otimes V_F^+(0123) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by the graph diagram shown in Figure 13 (Right), which is obtained by reflecting the one in Figure 13 (Left) along a horizon line. By the same way of interpreting the diagram as above, we get a linear map:

$$Z_F^-(01234) : V_F^+(1234) \otimes V_F^+(0134) \otimes V_F^+(0123) \longrightarrow V_F^+(0234) \otimes V_F^+(0124),$$

such that

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}_0 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_1, Z_F^-(01234)(\tilde{\phi}_2 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_3 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_4) \rangle = \tilde{Z}_F^-(01234)(\tilde{\phi}_0 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_1 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_2 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_3 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_4).$$



Figure 13: (Left): $\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)$; (Right): $\tilde{Z}_F^-(01234)$

From the boundary equations,

$$\partial(+01234) = (1234) - (0234) + (0134) - (0124) + (0123),$$
$$\partial(-01234) = -(1234) + (0234) - (0134) + (0124) - (0123),$$

we see that the domain of $Z_F^{\pm}(01234)$ always corresponds to the negative boundaries of $\pm(01234)$ and the codomain to positive boundaries of $\pm(01234)$. Each 3-simplex τ is the intersection of exactly two 4-simplices σ_1 and σ_2. If τ is a negative boundary in $\epsilon(\sigma_1)\sigma_1$, it must be a positive boundary in $\epsilon(\sigma_2)\sigma_2$, and vice versa. Thus, $V_F^+(\tau)$ appears exactly once in the domain for some 4-simplex and codomain for some other 4-simplex. Then we have

$$\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) : \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} V_F^+(\tau) \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} V_F^+(\tau).$$

Definition 3.2. *Given a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ and an ordered triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of a 4-manifold M, the partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ of the pair $(M,\mathcal{T})$ is defined by:*

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{F=(g,f)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\beta\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\beta)})\,\mathrm{Tr}(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}}, \tag{22}$$

where F runs through all $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$-colorings of $\mathcal{T}$, and $D^2 := \sum_{a\in L(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)} d_a^2$, d_a is the quantum dimension of the object a.

Theorem 3.3 (Main Theorem). *Let $\mathcal{C}_G^\times, M, \mathcal{T}$ be as above, then the partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ is an invariant of smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds.*

To prove the Main Theorem, one needs to show that $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ is independent of:

1. the choice of a representative of the color for each 2-simplex,
2. the ordering of vertices of a triangulation,
3. the choice of a triangulation.

These will be proved in Section 5.1, Section 5.2, and Section 5.4, respectively.

Below we derive two other equivalent formulas for the partition function, which will be used in the proof of invariance. They each have different flavors, and in practice it is more convenient to use one over another.

3.2 Definition of Partition Function II

The non-degenerate pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ defined in Equation 21 for two objects X, Y in a fusion category induces canonical isomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(Y,X)^*$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(Y,X) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)^*$. Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle^*_{X,Y}$ be the dual map of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{X,Y}$:

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle^*_{X,Y} : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)^* \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(Y,X)^* \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(Y,X) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y),$$

and let $\phi_{X,Y} = \langle\ ,\ \rangle^*_{X,Y}(1)$. If $\{v_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{w_j\}_{j\in I}$ are a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(Y,X)$, respectively, such that $\langle v_i, w_j\rangle = c_i\delta_{i,j}$ for some non-zero numbers c_i, then $\phi_{X,Y} = \sum_{i\in I} c_i^{-1} w_i \otimes v_i$.

Now assume a coloring $F = (g,f)$ and a representative of the color of each 2-simplex have been chosen. For each 3-simplex $\tau = (0123) \in \mathcal{T}^3$, there is thus the element

$$\phi_{F;\tau} := \phi_{f_{023}\otimes \overline{g}_{23} f_{012}, f_{013}\otimes f_{123}} \ \in\ V_F^-(0123) \otimes V_F^+(0123).$$

Let $V_F := \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} V_F^-(\tau)\otimes V_F^+(\tau)$, $\phi_F := \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} \phi_{F;\tau}$, then $\phi_F \in V_F$. From the definition of $\tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^4$, again it is not hard to see that each $V_F^\pm(\tau)$ appears exactly once as a tensor component of the domain for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^4$. Therefore, the map $\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ is a functional on V_F.

Proposition 3.4 (Definition of Partition Function II). *Let $\phi_F = \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} \phi_{F;\tau} \in V_F$ be as above, then $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ is given by the following formula:*

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{F=(g,f)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}} (\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))(\phi_F) \tag{23}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove, for a fixed coloring $F = (g,f)$ and a chosen representative of the color for each 2-simplex, we have:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)) = (\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))(\phi_F).$$

For any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^3$, choose a basis $\{v_i^+(\tau) : i \in I_\tau\}$ of $V_F^+(\tau)$, $\{v_j^-(\tau) : j \in I_\tau\}$ of $V_F^-(\tau)$, such that $\langle v_i^+(\tau), v_j^-(\tau)\rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Then

$$\phi_{F,\tau} = \sum_{i\in I_\tau} v_i^-(\tau)\otimes v_i^+(\tau)$$



Figure 14: Graphical representation of $B^e_{ab,cd}$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)) &= \sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3, i_\tau\in I_\tau} \langle \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} v^-_{i_\tau}(\tau)), (\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))(\bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} v^+_{i_\tau}(\tau))\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3, i_\tau\in I_\tau} (\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))(\bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} v^+_{i_\tau}(\tau) \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} v^-_{i_\tau}(\tau)) \\ &= (\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))(\phi_F).\end{aligned}$$

□

3.3 Definition of Partition Function III

We give a third formulation of the partition function as a state sum model, which is convenient in terms of calculations. For simplicity, let us assume the category $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is multiplicity free. The more general case can be treated in a similar way.

Let $\tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$ be an arbitrary complete set of representatives, one for each isomorphism class of simple objects. Recall that in Section 2.3, for each triple (a,b,c) of simple objects such that $N_{ab}^c = 1$, namely, (a,b,c) being admissible, we can choose a basis element $B_c^{ab} \in \mathrm{Hom}(c, a\otimes b)$ and $B^c_{ab} \in \mathrm{Hom}(a\otimes b, c)$ such that for any $c, c' \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$,

$$B^{c'}_{ab}\circ B_c^{ab} = \delta_{c,c'} Id_c \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_c B_c^{ab}\circ B^c_{ab} = Id_{a\otimes b}.$$

The graphical representations of B^c_{ab}, B_c^{ab} and their relations are illustrated in Figure 7.

For simple objects (a,b,c,d), let $B^e_{ab,cd} = B^{cd}_e \circ B^e_{ab}$, which is represented by the graph diagram in Figure 14. Then

$$\{B^e_{ab,cd} : e \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times), (a,b,e), (c,d,e) \text{ admissible}\}$$

forms a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(a\otimes b, c\otimes d)$, and moreover, $\langle B^e_{ab,cd}, B^{e'}_{cd,ab}\rangle = \delta_{e,e'} d_e$.

Definition 3.5. *An extended $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$-coloring of the pair $(M,\mathcal{T})$ is a triple $\hat{F} = (g,f,t)$, $g : \mathcal{T}^1 \to G$, $f : \mathcal{T}^2 \to L(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$, $t : \mathcal{T}^3 \to L(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)$, such that,*

1. *for any $\beta = (012) \in \mathcal{T}^2$, $f(012) \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{02} g_{01} g_{12}}$;*
2. *for any $\tau = (0123) \in \mathcal{T}^3$, $t(0123) \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{03} g_{01} g_{12} g_{23}}$.*

As before, we choose arbitrarily a representative for each $f(012)$ and $t(0123)$, and denote these representatives as f_{012}, t_{0123} or $012, 0123$. Given an extended coloring $\hat{F} = (g,f,t)$, then $F = (g,\underline{f})$ is a coloring according to Definition 3.1. As noted in Section 3.1, for each 3-simplex $\tau = (0123)$, $f_{023} \otimes {}^{\overline{g}_{23}} f_{012}$ and $f_{013}\otimes f_{123}$ are both in the sector $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{03} g_{01} g_{12} g_{23}}$, which is why in Definition 3.5 we also require $t(0123)$ to be in the sector $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{03} g_{01} g_{12} g_{23}}$. More explicitly, as t varies, the set

$$\{B^{t_{0123}}_{f_{023}{}^{\overline{g}_{23}} f_{012}, f_{013} f_{123}} : \ t_{0123} \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{03} g_{01} g_{12} g_{23}}, t_{0123} \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)\}$$



(a) (b) (c) (d)

Figure 15: $(a) : B^{t_{0123}}_{f_{023}\overline{g}_{23}f_{012}, f_{013}f_{123}}$; (b) : short notation; $(c) : B^{t_{0123}}_{f_{013}f_{123}, f_{023}\overline{g}_{23}f_{012}}$; (d) : short notation.

forms a basis of $V_F^+(0123)$. Similarly, the set

$$\{B^{t_{0123}}_{f_{013}f_{123}, f_{023}\overline{g}_{23}f_{012}} \ : \ t_{0123} \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{03}g_{01}g_{12}g_{23}}, t_{0123} \in \tilde{L}(\mathcal{C}_G^\times)\}$$

forms a basis of $V_F^-(0123)$. Graphically, these two set of bases are represented in Figure 15. Note that

$$\langle B^{t_{0123}}_{f_{023}\overline{g}_{23}f_{012}, f_{013}f_{123}} \ , \ B^{t'_{0123}}_{f_{013}f_{123}, f_{023}\overline{g}_{23}f_{012}} \rangle = d_{t_{0123}}\delta_{t_{0123}, t'_{0123}}.$$

Rewriting the formula in Proposition 3.4 under this B-basis, we obtain a state-sum model. Explicitly, for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^4$, the $25j$-symbol $\hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma)$ is defined to be the evaluation of the graph diagram in Figure 16 (Left) if $\epsilon(\sigma) = +$ and Figure 16 (Right) if $\epsilon(\sigma) = -$. As in Section 3.1, the three long vertical segments representing the trace in each diagram are implicitly directed upwards and all other segments are directed downwards. And the $\overline{34}$ symbol in each diagram means the action of $\overline{34}$ on the morphism enclosed by the parenthesis. In the diagram we also have dropped the letters g, f, t, and thus the labels, e.g., $024, 0234$, etc. denote the color on the corresponding simplex. In a more compact form, $\hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma)$ is defined by the diagram in Figure 17 (left) in the case $\epsilon(\sigma) = +$ and by Figure 17 (right) otherwise.

Proposition 3.6 (Definition of Partition Function III)**.** *The partition function has the state sum model:*

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M; \mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\hat{F}=(g,f,t)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})} \tag{24}$$

Proof. The right hand side of Equation 24 can be written as

$$\sum_{F=(g,f)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}} \sum_{\hat{F}=(F,t)} \frac{(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma))}{(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})}$$

For each 3-simplex $\tau = (0123)$, we have

$$\phi_{F,\tau} = \sum_{t_{0123}} \frac{1}{d_{t_{0123}}} B^{t_{0123}}_{f_{013}f_{123}, f_{023}\overline{g}_{23}f_{012}} \ \otimes \ B^{t_{0123}}_{f_{023}\overline{g}_{23}f_{012}, f_{013}f_{123}}.$$

Thus, for a fixed coloring $F = (g, f)$,

$$(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}_F(\sigma))(\phi_F) = \sum_{\hat{F}=(F,t)} \frac{(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma))}{(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})}$$

□



Figure 16: (Left): $\hat{Z}^+_{\hat{F}}(01234)$; (Right): $\hat{Z}^+_{\hat{F}}(01234)$



Figure 17: Compact form: (Left): $\hat{Z}^+_{\hat{F}}(01234)$; (Right): $\hat{Z}^+_{\hat{F}}(01234)$



Figure 18: Identity morphism

The $25j$-symbol $\hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma)$ in Figure 16 can be expressed as a concrete formula in terms of the data $(N^c_{ab}, F^{abc}_{d;nm}, U_g(a,b;c), \eta_a(g,h), R^{ab}_c)$ given in Section 2.3. Explicitly, let $\sigma = (01234)$ and assume an extended coloring $\hat{F}$ has been assigned to σ, then $\hat{Z}^{+}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma)$ and $\hat{Z}^{+}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma)$ are given by Equation 25 and 26, respectively. The way to obtain these formulas is by inserting the identity morphism shown in Figure 18 to the bottom of the diagrams in Figure 16 and using the diagrammatic equations in Section 2.3 to simplify the diagrams.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Z}^{+}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma) = \sum_{d,a} & F^{024,234,\overline{34\cdot 23}012}_{d;a,0234}\, \eta^{-1}_{012}(\overline{34},\overline{23})\, \eta^{-1}_{012}(\overline{24}\cdot 23\cdot 34, \overline{34}\cdot\overline{23})\, R^{234,\overline{34\cdot 23}012}_{a} \\ & (F^{-1})^{024,\overline{24}012,234}_{d;0124,a}\, F^{014,124,234}_{d;1234,0124}\, (F^{-1})^{014,134,\overline{34}123}_{d;0134,1234}\, F^{034,\overline{34}013,\overline{34}123}_{d;\overline{34}0123,0134} \\ & U_{\overline{34}}(023,\overline{23}012;0123)\, U^{-1}_{\overline{34}}(013,123;0123)\, (F^{-1})^{034,\overline{34}023,\overline{34\cdot 23}012}_{d;0234,\overline{34}0123}\, d_d \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Z}^{-}_{\hat{F}}(\sigma) = \sum_{d,a} & U^{-1}_{\overline{34}}(023,\overline{23}012;0123)\, U_{\overline{34}}(013,123;0123)\, F^{034,\overline{34}023,\overline{34\cdot 23}012}_{d;\overline{34}0123,0234} \\ & (F^{-1})^{034,\overline{34}013,\overline{34}123}_{d;0134,\overline{34}0123}\, F^{014,134,\overline{34}123}_{d;1234,0134}\, (F^{-1})^{014,124,234}_{d;0124,1234}\, F^{024,\overline{24}012,234}_{d;a,0124} \\ & \eta_{012}(\overline{24}\cdot 23\cdot 34, \overline{34}\cdot\overline{23})(R^{234,\overline{34\cdot 23}012}_{a})^{-1}\eta_{012}(\overline{34},\overline{23})(F^{-1})^{024,234,\overline{34\cdot 23}012}_{d;0234,a}\, d_d \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

4 Examples and Variations

In this section, we give several examples of the partition function defined in Section 3. Some of the known invariants in literature emerge as special cases of our construction. At the end of this section, a variation of the construction of the partition function is introduced when the G-BSFC has a trivial grading. In this case, a cocycle in $H^4(G, U(1))$ can be included in the construction to produce a different invariant. A particular case reduces to the twisted Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant.

4.1 Crane-Yetter Invariant

The Crane-Yetter invariant was introduced in [12], where the authors gave a state sum construction of 4-manifold invariants with the modular tensor category $Rep(U_q(sl_2))$, where $Rep(U_q(sl_2))$ is the category of representations of $U_q(sl_2)$ with q some principal $4r$-th root of unity. Later on the construction was generalized to any semi-simple ribbon category $\mathcal{C}$[11] [6]. This generalized state sum invariant is still called the Crane-Yetter invariant denoted by $CY_{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot)$.

[6] In [11], such a category was called semi-simple tortile category.

For a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \bigoplus_{g\in G} \mathcal{C}_g$, if $G = \{e\}$ is the trivial group, then $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ has only one sector $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_e$ and it is a ribbon fusion category as noted in Section 2.1. In this case, the only color on a 1-simplex is the unit e, so we can just assume there is no color at all on 1-simplices. The colors on each 2- and 3-simplex run through a complete set of representatives in $\mathcal{C}$. For each colored 4-simplex, the partition function is given by Figure 16 where all the relevant group elements and group actions are trivial, and this is equal to the $15j$-symbol defined in [11]. The state-sum formula is given by

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}^\times_{\{e\}}}(M;\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\hat{F}=(f,t)} \frac{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}_{\hat{F}}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})}. \tag{27}$$

It is then direct to see that the resulting partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}^\times_{\{e\}}}(M)$ is exactly the Crane-Yetter invariant $CY_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$.

4.2 Yetter's Invariant from Homotopy 2-types

A (strict) categorical group is a rigid tensor category $\mathcal{G}$ such that $(\otimes, \mathbf{1}, (\cdot)^*)$ satisfies the axioms of groups strictly and that every morphism is invertible. Note that here the morphism spaces are not required to be a vector space. Namely, in a categorical group, all the structural isomorphisms a, l, r are identity maps and $A^* \otimes A = A \otimes A^* = \mathbf{1}$, $f \otimes (f^{-1})^* = Id$, $(f^{-1})^* \otimes f = Id$ for any object A and morphism f.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between categorical groups and crossed modules which are defined below.

Let G, H be two finite groups. A crossed module is a quadruple (H, G, ρ, ϕ) where $\rho : H \longrightarrow G$ is a group morphism and $\phi : G \times H \longrightarrow H$ is a group action of G on H, such that the following two conditions are satisfied.

1. ρ commutes with the G-action, i.e., for any $g \in G, h \in H$, $\rho(\phi(g, h)) = {}^g\rho(h)$, where the right hand side is the conjugation action.

2. The action ϕ extends the conjugation action of H on itself, i.e., for any $h, h' \in H$, $\phi(\rho(h'), h) = {}^{h'}h$.

We will usually write the action as $\phi(g, h) = {}^g h$. The inverse of a group element g is also denoted by $\overline{g}$.

Given a categorical group $\mathcal{G}$, let $G = \mathcal{G}^0$ and $H = \sqcup_{g\in G} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, g)$. It is clear that G is a group with the product $\otimes$, the unit $e = \mathbf{1}$, and the inverse $\overline{(\cdot)} = (\cdot)^*$. Define $\rho : H \longrightarrow G$ to be the target map, namely $\rho(h)$ is the target of g, and define the G-action by $\phi(g, h) = Id_g \otimes h \otimes Id_{\overline{g}}$. We leave it as an exercise to check that H is also a group, and (H, G, ρ, ϕ) is a crossed module, which we denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{G})$.

For the converse direction, given a crossed module (H, G, ρ, ϕ), define the categorical group $\mathcal{G}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$ as follows.

1. Objects are elements of G. For $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $\mathrm{Hom}(g_1, g_2) := \rho^{-1}(\overline{g_1}g_2) \subset H$. The composition of morphisms are the product in H.

2. For $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(g_1, g_2), h' \in \mathrm{Hom}(g_1', g_2')$, $g_1 \otimes g_1' := g_1 g_1'$, and $h \otimes h' := {}^{\overline{g_1}}hh'$. The unit $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit in G. The structure isomorphisms a, l, r's are identity maps.

3. For $g \in G$, the dual $g^* := \overline{g}$, and the b_g, d_g's are identities.

Again, it can be checked that $\mathcal{G}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$ is a categorical group.

Proposition 4.1. *If (H, G, ρ, ϕ) is a crossed module and $\mathcal{G}$ is a categorical group, then $Mod(\mathcal{G}(H, G, \rho, \phi)) = (H, G, \rho, \phi))$ and $\mathcal{G}(Mod(\mathcal{G})) = \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. Direct verification. □

On the other hand, from a crossed module (H, G, ρ, ϕ) we can also construct a G-BSFC denoted by $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(H, G, \rho, \phi) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$. As a category, $\mathcal{D}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$ is the same as $\mathcal{V}ect_H$, the category of H-graded finite dimensional vector spaces. We identify the simple objects in $\mathcal{D}$ with elements of H. For each $g \in G$, the g-sector $\mathcal{C}_g$ is spanned by all simple objects in $\rho^{-1}(g)$. This defines a G-grading on $\mathcal{D}$ due to the fact that ρ is a group morphism. The G-action on simple objects of $\mathcal{D}$ is defined to be the action ϕ on H. It can be checked that this is a well-defined action, and the first condition in the definition of crossed modules guarantees that the G-action g sends the g'-sector to the ${}^{g}g'$-sector.

Let $h \in \mathcal{C}_g, h' \in \mathcal{C}_{g'}$, namely, $\rho(h) = g, \rho(h') = g'$, then $h \otimes h' = hh' \in \mathcal{C}_{gg'}$, and ${}^{g}h' \otimes h = {}^{\rho(h)}h' \otimes h = {}^{h}h' \otimes h = hh' \in \mathcal{C}_{gg'}$, where the second equality is due to the second condition in the definition of crossed modules. We define the G-crossed braiding by the identity map, namely,

$$c_{h,h'} := Id : h \otimes h' \longrightarrow {}^{g}h' \otimes h$$

We now describe the partition function associated with $\mathcal{D}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$. Let $\hat{F} = (g, f, t)$ be an extended coloring. Then for each 2-simplex (012), $f_{012} \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_{02} g_{01} g_{12}}$. For any 3-simplex (0123), if the space $V^{\pm}(0123)$ is to be non-zero, we need to have

$$f_{023}{}^{\overline{g}_{23}} f_{012} = f_{013} f_{123} = t_{0123} \tag{28}$$

Thus the color on a 3-simplex is uniquely determined by those on its boundary faces. Given an extended coloring such that the condition from Equation 28 is satisfied for every 3-simplex, it is direct to see that for each 4-simplex σ, $\hat{Z}^{\pm}(\sigma) = 1$ by Figure 16.

Definition 4.2. *Given a crossed module (H, G, ρ, ϕ) and an ordered triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of M, an admissible coloring is a map $F = (g, f)$, $g : \mathcal{T}^1 \longrightarrow G$, $f : \mathcal{T}^2 \longrightarrow H$, such that,*

1. *for any 2-simplex (012), $\rho(f_{012}) = \overline{g}_{02} g_{01} g_{12}$;*
2. *for any 3-simplex (0123), $f_{023}{}^{\overline{g}_{23}} f_{012} = f_{013} f_{123}$.*

An admissible coloring is a $\mathcal{G}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$-color in the sense of [50]. The following property shows actually the partition function associated with $\mathcal{D}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$ is exactly the Yetter's invariant $Y_{\mathcal{G}(H,G,\rho,\phi)}$ associated with $\mathcal{G}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$ in [50].

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(H, G, \rho, \phi)$ be the G-BSFC obtained from a crossed module, then*

$$Z_{\mathcal{D}}(M; \mathcal{T}) = \frac{|H|^{|\mathcal{T}^0| - |\mathcal{T}^1|}}{|G|^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}} \#(H, G, \rho, \phi) = Y_{\mathcal{G}(H,G,\rho,\phi)}(M), \tag{29}$$

where $\#(H, G, \rho, \phi)$ is the number of admissible colorings.

Proof. In $\mathcal{D}$, it is clear that the quantum dimension of each simple object is 1, and the total dimension square $D^2 = |H|$. Then the first equality follows from Equation 24. The second equality follows from [50]. □

If $H = \{e\}$ is the trivial group, there is a unique group morphism ρ_0 from H to G, and a unique action (the trivial action) ϕ_0 of G on H. Then according to Definition 4.2, an *admissible* coloring is simply a map $g : \mathcal{T}^1 \longrightarrow G$, such that for each 2-simplex (012), $\overline{g}_{02} g_{01} g_{12} = e$. In this case our partition function is reduced to the untwisted Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant $DW_G(M)$ [15].

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}(\{e\}, G, \rho_0, \phi_0)$, then*

$$Z_{\mathcal{D}_0}(M; \mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{|G|^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}} \#(\{e\}, G, \rho_0, \phi_0) = \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_0(M), G)|} = DW_G(M), \tag{30}$$

where $\pi_0(M)$ is the set of connected components of M and $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_0(M), G)$ is the set of maps from $\pi_0(M)$ to G.

Proof. The first equality is by Proposition 4.3.

Note that the two sides of the second equality are both multiplicative with respect to disjoint union of connected components. Thus it suffices to prove the equality for a connected manifold M, namely, $|\pi_0(M)| = 1$.

Choose a maximal spanning tree K, which is a sub complex of $\mathcal{T}^1$ with $|\mathcal{T}^0| - 1$ edges. Then it is easy to see that there is a $|G|^{|\mathcal{T}^0|-1}$ to one correspondence between the set of *admissible* colorings and $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)$. □

4.3 Trivial G-grading with a Trivial G-action

Given a ribbon fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and a finite group G, we can construct a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \bigoplus_{g\in G} \mathcal{C}_g$, where $\mathcal{C}_g = \mathcal{C}$ if $g = e$, and $\mathcal{C}_g = 0$ otherwise, and G acts on $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ by the identity functor. We consider the partition function associated to $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$.

Since the nontrivial part of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is constrained in the trivial sector, the coloring g on 1-simplices needs to satisfy the Dijkgraaf-Witten coloring rule, namely, $\overline{g}_{02}g_{01}g_{12} = e$ for each 2-simplex (012). The colorings on 2- and 3-simplices are independent of those on 1-simplices, and they are the same as the colorings of Crane-Yetter model. Moreover, the partition function corresponding to each 4-simplex does not depend on the colors on 1-simplices and is the same as that of Crane-Yetter since the group action here is trivial. We therefore have,

Proposition 4.5. *If $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ has a trivial G-grading and a trivial G-action where the trivial sector of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is $\mathcal{C}$, then $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) = CY_{\mathcal{C}}(M)DW_G(M)$.*

Proof. By Equation 24 and the argument above,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) &= \sum_{\hat{F}=(g,f,t)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}_{\hat{F}}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})} \\
&= \sum_g \frac{1}{|G|^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}} \sum_{f,t} \frac{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})} \\
&= \sum_g \frac{1}{|G|^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}} CY_{\mathcal{C}}(M) \\
&= DW_G(M)CY_{\mathcal{C}}(M),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ is the $25j$-symbol of σ for which we have hidden the dependence on the coloring.

□

In Section 4.4, we will give some variations of the construction of the partition function, in which the twisted Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant will appear as a special case.

4.4 Variation: Trivial G-grading

In this section, we study a variation of the construction of the partition function, which produces a different invariant of 4-manifolds.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a ribbon fusion category and let $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \bigoplus_{g\in G} \mathcal{C}_g$ be a G-BSFC with a trivial G-grading, namely, $\mathcal{C}_e = \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_g = 0$ for $g \neq e$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is a ribbon fusion category endowed with a G-action. In this case, we show that a 4-cocycle $\omega \in H^4(G, U(1))$ can be introduced in the construction of the partition function.

Since the G-grading is trivial, the colors g on 1-simplices must satisfy the condition $\bar{g}_{02}g_{01}g_{12} = e$ for each 2-simplex (012). The colors on 2- and 3-simplices run through a complete set of representatives in $\mathcal{C}$. For each fixed coloring, we will introduce an ω factor to the partition function. Explicitly, using the notations in Section 3, the new invariant can be defined as follows:

Definition 4.6. *Given a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ with a trivial G-grading, a 4-cocycle $\omega \in H^4(G, U(1))$, and an ordered triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of a 4-manifold M, the partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M;\mathcal{T})$ of the pair $(M,\mathcal{T})$ is defined by:*

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M;\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{F=(g,f)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\beta\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\beta)})\,\mathrm{Tr}(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}}\omega(g_{01},g_{12},g_{23},g_{34}), \tag{31}$$

or written in state sum model by:

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M;\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\hat{F}=(g,f,t)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}_{\hat{F}}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})}\omega(g_{01},g_{12},g_{23},g_{34}). \tag{32}$$

The invariance proof of $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M;\mathcal{T})$ can be processed in a similar way as that of $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ with slight modifications, so we will omit the details. For instance, to show it is invariant under the 3-3 pachner move, Equation 47 will be replaced by Equation 33:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{I_2,\\ I_3}}\{\frac{d_{024}}{d_{0124}d_{0234}d_{0245}}\hat{Z}^+(01234)\hat{Z}^+(01245)\hat{Z}^+(02345)\\
&\qquad\cdot\omega(01,12,23,34)\omega(01,12,24,45)\omega(02,23,34,45)\}\\
=&\sum_{\substack{I_2',\\ I_3'}}\{\frac{d_{135}}{d_{0135}d_{1235}d_{1345}}\hat{Z}^+(01235)\hat{Z}^+(01345)\hat{Z}^+(12345)\\
&\qquad\cdot\omega(01,12,23,35)\omega(01,13,34,45)\omega(12,23,34,45)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

In Equation 33, the product of the three ω factors on the left hand side is equal to the product of the three factors on the right hand side, and this is precisely because ω is a 4-cocycle and the colors on 1-simplices satisfy $\bar{g}_{02}g_{01}g_{12}$ for each 2-simplex (012). Cancelling the ω factors on both sides, we get back to Equation 47.

Proposition 4.7. *If $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \mathcal{C}$ is a G-BSFC with a trivial G-grading and a trivial G-action, and $\omega \in H^4(G,U(1))$, then*

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M;\mathcal{T}) = DW_G^\omega(M)CY_{\mathcal{C}}(M), \tag{34}$$

where $DW_G^\omega(M)$ is the twisted Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant [15]. In particular, If $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \mathcal{V}ect$, then $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega} = DW_G^\omega(M)$.

Proof. A similar argument as that in Section 4.3 shows that $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times,\omega}(M;\mathcal{T}) &= \sum_{\hat{F}=(g,f,t)} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}_{\hat{F}}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})}\omega(g_{01},g_{12},g_{23},g_{34})\\
&= \sum_g \frac{1}{|G|^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}}\omega(g_{01},g_{12},g_{23},g_{34})\sum_{f,t} \frac{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}(\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)})(\prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}(\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_{t(\tau)})}\\
&= \sum_g \frac{1}{|G|^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}}\omega(g_{01},g_{12},g_{23},g_{34})CY_{\mathcal{C}}(M)\\
&= DW_G^\omega(M)CY_{\mathcal{C}}(M).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5 Proof of Theorem 3.3

In this section we will prove in turn that $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ is independent of the choice of representatives (Section 5.1), the ordering of vertices of a triangulation (Section 5.2), and the choice of a triangulation 5.4.

5.1 Invariance under Choice of Representatives

In defining the partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$, for each coloring $F=(g,f)$, we chose arbitrarily a representative f_β for the triangle color $f(\beta)$, $\beta\in\mathcal{T}^2$. In the following, we show that $\mathrm{Tr}(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))$ is independent of the choice of representatives, and thus $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ is also independent of the choice of representatives by Definition 3.2.

Let $\phi_A : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$, $\phi_B : B \xrightarrow{\sim} B'$ be isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$. Denote by $T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_B}$ the following linear isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_B} : \mathrm{Hom}(A,B) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(A',B') \\ \phi_A^B &\longmapsto \phi_B\circ\phi_A^B\circ\phi_A^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Diagram 35 commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\phi_B} & B' \\ {\scriptstyle \phi_A^B}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_B}(\phi_A^B)} \\ A & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & A' \end{array} \tag{35}$$

The isomorphism $T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_B}$ satisfies functorial properties, namely,

1. If $\phi_C : C \xrightarrow{\sim} C'$, then $T_{\phi_B}^{\phi_C}(\phi_B^C)\circ T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_B}(\phi_A^B) = T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_C}(\phi_B^C\circ\phi_A^B)$.
2. $T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_A}(Id_A) = Id_{A'}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The isomorphism $T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_B}$ preserves the pairing, i.e., for $\phi_A^B\in\mathrm{Hom}(A,B), \phi_B^A\in\mathrm{Hom}(B,A)$,*

$$\langle \phi_B^A, \phi_A^B\rangle = \langle T_{\phi_B}^{\phi_A}(\phi_B^A), T_{\phi_A}^{\phi_B}(\phi_A^B)\rangle.$$

Proof. Direct verification. □

For the rest of the section, we fix a coloring $F=(g,f)$. For each 2-simplex $(ijk)\in\mathcal{T}^2$, assume we have arbitrarily chosen two representatives of $f(ijk)$ denoted by ijk and ijk', respectively. To distinguish these two choices, we attach an apostrophe to all quantities related to the second the choice, e.g., $V_F^+(0123)', Z_F^-(01234)'$, etc.

For each $\beta=(ijk)\in\mathcal{T}^2$, choose any isomorphism $\phi_{ijk} : ijk \longrightarrow ijk'$. If $\tau=(0123)\in\mathcal{T}^3$, let $\phi_{-\tau}$, $\phi_{+\tau}$ be the isomorphisms defined below.

$$\phi_{-\tau} := \phi_{023}\otimes {}^{\overline{23}}(\phi_{012}) : 023\otimes {}^{\overline{23}}012 \xrightarrow{\sim} 023'\otimes {}^{\overline{23}}012'$$

$$\phi_{+\tau} := \phi_{013}\otimes\phi_{123} : 013\otimes 123 \xrightarrow{\sim} 013'\otimes 123'$$

Then we have the isomorphisms $T_{\phi_{-\tau}}^{\phi_{+\tau}} : V_F^+(\tau)\longrightarrow V_F^+(\tau)'$, $T_{\phi_{+\tau}}^{\phi_{-\tau}} : V_F^-(\tau)\longrightarrow V_F^-(\tau)'$. See Diagram 36. When it is clear from the context, we will drop the subscript/superscript and write $T_{\phi_{-\tau}}^{\phi_{+\tau}}$ as T.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 013\otimes 123 & \xrightarrow{\phi_{+\tau}} & 013'\otimes 123' \\ {\scriptstyle \phi}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T_{\phi_{-\tau}}^{\phi_{+\tau}}(\phi)} \\ 023\otimes {}^{\overline{23}}012 & \xrightarrow{\phi_{-\tau}} & 023'\otimes {}^{\overline{23}}012' \end{array} \tag{36}$$

Lemma 5.2. *For any 4-simplex $\sigma = (01234) \in \mathcal{T}^4$, Diagram 37 and 38 both commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_F^+(0234) \otimes V_F^+(0124) \otimes V_F^-(1234) \otimes V_F^-(0134) \otimes V_F^-(0123) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)} & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\otimes T\otimes T\otimes T\otimes T} & \nearrow_{\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)'} & \\ V_F^+(0234)' \otimes V_F^+(0124)' \otimes V_F^-(1234)' \otimes V_F^-(0134)' \otimes V_F^-(0123)' & & \end{array} \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_F^-(0234) \otimes V_F^-(0124) \otimes V_F^+(1234) \otimes V_F^+(0134) \otimes V_F^+(0123) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{Z}_F^-(01234)} & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\otimes T\otimes T\otimes T\otimes T} & \nearrow_{\tilde{Z}_F^-(01234)'} & \\ V_F^-(0234)' \otimes V_F^-(0124)' \otimes V_F^+(1234)' \otimes V_F^+(0134)' \otimes V_F^+(0123)' & & \end{array} \tag{38}$$

Proof. We only prove the case for Diagram 37. The other case can be proved in the same way. Let $\phi_0 \otimes \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3 \otimes \phi_4$ be in the domain of $\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)$. Consider the following diagram, where it is not hard to see that the the two maps on the two sides of each horizontal arrow represent the same map.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
034 \otimes \overline{34}023 \otimes \overline{34.23}012 & \xrightarrow[\phi_{034}\otimes\overline{34}(\phi_{-0123})]{\phi_{-0234}\otimes\overline{34.23}(\phi_{012})} & 034' \otimes \overline{34}023' \otimes \overline{34.23}012' \\
{\scriptstyle Id\otimes\overline{34}\phi_4}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle Id\otimes\overline{34}T(\phi_4)} \\
034 \otimes \overline{34}013 \otimes \overline{34}123 & \xrightarrow[\phi_{-0134}\otimes\overline{34}(\phi_{123})]{\phi_{034}\otimes\overline{34}(\phi_{+0123})} & 034' \otimes \overline{34}013' \otimes \overline{34}123' \\
{\scriptstyle \phi_3\otimes Id}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T(\phi_3)\otimes Id} \\
014 \otimes 134 \otimes \overline{34}123 & \xrightarrow[\phi_{014}\otimes\phi_{-1234}]{\phi_{+0134}\otimes\overline{34}(\phi_{123})} & 014' \otimes 134' \otimes \overline{34}123' \\
{\scriptstyle Id\otimes\phi_2}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle Id\otimes T(\phi_2)} \\
014 \otimes 124 \otimes 234 & \xrightarrow[\phi_{+0124}\otimes\phi_{234}]{\phi_{014}\otimes\phi_{+1234}} & 014' \otimes 124' \otimes 234' \\
{\scriptstyle \phi_1\otimes Id}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T(\phi_1)\otimes Id} \\
024 \otimes \overline{24}012 \otimes 234 & \xrightarrow[\phi_{024}\otimes\overline{24.23.34}(\overline{34.23}(\phi_{012}))\otimes\phi_{234}]{\phi_{-0124}\otimes\phi_{234}} & 024' \otimes \overline{24}012' \otimes 234' \\
{\scriptstyle Id\otimes c_{234,\overline{34.23}012}}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle Id\otimes c_{234',\overline{34.23}012'}} \\
024 \otimes 234 \otimes \overline{34.23}012 & \xrightarrow[\phi_{+0234}\otimes\overline{34.23}(\phi_{012})]{\phi_{024}\otimes\phi_{234}\otimes\overline{34.23}(\phi_{012})} & 024' \otimes 234' \otimes \overline{34.23}012' \\
{\scriptstyle \phi_0\otimes Id}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T(\phi_0)\otimes Id} \\
034 \otimes \overline{34}023 \otimes \overline{34.23}012 & \xrightarrow[\phi_{-0234}\otimes\overline{34.23}(\phi_{012})]{} & 034' \otimes \overline{34}023' \otimes \overline{34.23}012'
\end{array} \tag{39}$$

The second square diagram from the bottom commutes by the naturality of the G-crossed braiding. All other square diagrams commute by Diagram 36. Thus, the whole diagram commutes.

Denote the composition of the vertical maps on the left (resp. right) side by L (resp. R), then $\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)(\phi_0 \otimes \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3 \otimes \phi_4) = \mathrm{Tr}(L)$, $\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)'(T(\phi_0) \otimes T(\phi_1) \otimes T(\phi_2) \otimes T(\phi_3) \otimes T(\phi_4)) = \mathrm{Tr}(R)$. Since L, R are conjugate by the above diagram, thus $\mathrm{Tr}(L) = \mathrm{Tr}(R)$ and we have $\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234) = \tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)'(T^{\otimes 5})$. □

Proposition 5.3. *For any $\sigma = (01234) \in \mathcal{T}^4$, $Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ commutes with T. More precisely, the following diagrams commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_F^+(0234) \otimes V_F^+(0124) & \xrightarrow{Z_F^+(01234)} & V_F^+(1234) \otimes V_F^+(0134) \otimes V_F^+(0123) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\otimes T} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\otimes T\otimes T} \\ V_F^+(0234)' \otimes V_F^+(0124)' & \xrightarrow[Z_F^+(01234)']{} & V_F^+(1234)' \otimes V_F^+(0134)' \otimes V_F^+(0123)' \end{array} \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_F^+(0234)\otimes V_F^+(0124) & \xleftarrow{Z_F^-(01234)} & V_F^+(1234)\otimes V_F^+(0134)\otimes V_F^+(0123) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\otimes T} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\otimes T\otimes T} \\ V_F^+(0234)'\otimes V_F^+(0124)' & \xleftarrow[Z_F^-(01234)']{} & V_F^+(1234)'\otimes V_F^+(0134)'\otimes V_F^+(0123)' \end{array} \tag{41}$$

Proof. Again we only prove the case of Diagram 40.

Let $\phi_0\otimes\phi_1\in V_F^+(0234)\otimes V_F^+(0124)$, $\phi_2\otimes\phi_3\otimes\phi_4\in V_F^+(1234)\otimes V_F^+(0134)\otimes V_F^+(0123)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (T\otimes T\otimes T)\circ Z_F^+(01234)(\phi_0\otimes\phi_1), (T\otimes T\otimes T)(\phi_2\otimes\phi_3\otimes\phi_4)\rangle \\ =&\langle Z_F^+(01234)(\phi_0\otimes\phi_1), \phi_2\otimes\phi_3\otimes\phi_4\rangle \\ =&\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)(\phi_0\otimes\phi_1\otimes\phi_2\otimes\phi_3\otimes\phi_4) \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle Z_F^+(01234)'\circ (T\otimes T)(\phi_0\otimes\phi_1), (T\otimes T\otimes T)(\phi_2\otimes\phi_3\otimes\phi_4)\rangle \\ =&\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)'(T(\phi_0)\otimes T(\phi_1)\otimes T(\phi_2)\otimes T(\phi_3)\otimes T(\phi_4)) \\ =&\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)'\circ (T\otimes T\otimes T\otimes T\otimes T)(\phi_0\otimes\phi_1\otimes\phi_2\otimes\phi_3\otimes\phi_4) \\ =&\tilde{Z}_F^+(01234)(\phi_0\otimes\phi_1\otimes\phi_2\otimes\phi_3\otimes\phi_4) \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

The first "= " in Equation 42 is by Lemma 5.1, and the third "= " in Equation 43 is by Lemma 5.2.

Since the equalities in Equation 42 and 43 hold for any $\phi_i, i=0,1,2,3,4$. this implies Diagram 40 commutes. □

Theorem 5.4. *Given a coloring $F=(g,f)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)').$$

As a consequence, the partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ is independent on the choice of representatives for each triangle color.

Proof. It suffices to prove Diagram 44 commutes, which follows from Proposition 5.3 since each $V_F^+(\tau)$ is acted on by exactly one $Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} V_F^+(\tau) & \xrightarrow{\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)} & \bigoplus_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} V_F^+(\tau) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} T} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} T} \\ \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} V_F^+(\tau)' & \xrightarrow[\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} Z_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)']{} & \bigotimes_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} V_F^+(\tau)' \end{array} \tag{44}$$

□

5.2 Invariance under Change of Ordering

When defining the partition function, we require that the triangulation be equipped with an ordering on its vertices. In this subsection, it is shown that the partition function is actually independent of the ordering. We take Equation 23 as the definition of the partition function and notations from Section 3.2 will be used.

Let S_n be the permutation group acting on the set $\{0,1,\cdots,n-1\}$ with the standard generators $s_i\in\mathrm{S}_n, i=0,1,\cdots,n-2$, swapping i and $i+1$. For each $s\in\mathrm{S}_n$ and any non-empty subset $T\subset\{0,1,\cdots,n-1\}$, if we order the elements in T and in $s(T)$, respectively, by $0,1,\cdots,|T|-1$ according to their relative order, then we get a permutation s_T, called the restriction of s on T, on $\{0,1,\cdots,|T|-1\}$,



Figure 19: Reordering by a permutation s

namely, $s_T(i) := j$ if s maps the i-th greatest element in T to the j-th greatest element in $s(T)$. For example, if $s = s_0 \in \mathrm{S}_3$, then $s_{\{0,1\}} = s_0 \in \mathrm{S}_2$, $s_{\{0,2\}} = Id \in \mathrm{S}_2$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a fixed ordered triangulation with vertices ordered by $0, 1, \cdots, N-1$, where $N = |\mathcal{T}^0|$. Let $\mathcal{T}'$ be any ordered triangulation obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by reordering its vertices. Apparently, each reordering of vertices corresponds to an element of S_N. To make it clear, if $s \in \mathrm{S}_N$, then we obtain $\mathcal{T}'$ from $\mathcal{T}$ by replacing the label i of a vertex by $s(i)$. See Figure 19 for the reordering of a 2-simplex. *That is, we are thinking that the 'physical' unordered triangulation is fixed all the time; only the labels on vertices are permuted.* For a k-simplex $\sigma = (a_0 a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{T}^k$, denote by σ_s the corresponding k-simplex $(\sigma(a_0)\sigma(a_1)\cdots\sigma(a_{k-1})) \in (\mathcal{T}')^k$. Note that our convention for expressing a k-simplex is to list its labels in increasing order, thus here σ_s should really be $(\sigma(a_0)\sigma(a_1)\cdots\sigma(a_{k-1}))$ rearranged in increasing order. For example, if $s(0) = 3, s(1) = 2, s(2) = 4$, then the 2-simplex $(012) \in \mathcal{T}^2$ corresponds to $(012)_s = (234) \in (\mathcal{T}'^2)$. Also note that σ and σ_s are the same as an unordered simplex. Since every permutation is a composition of s_i's, it suffices to prove the partition function is invariant under every s_i, the swap of two labels i and $i+1$. If the vertices labeled by i and $i+1$ are not within any 4-simplex, then after swapping i and $i+1$, the relative order of vertices of any 4-simplex remains the same, and thus the partition function also remains the same. Hence, we only need to consider the case where the vertices labeled by i and $i+1$ are within some 4-simplex. (They could be contained in more than one 4-simplex.)

Here is the basic idea of the proof. Let $s = s_i \in \mathrm{S}_N$ be some swap, and let $\mathcal{T}'$ be the ordered triangulation obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by s. If σ is any k-simplex, s_σ means the restriction of s on the set of vertices of σ. Note that here we have defined both s_σ and σ_s. We will show there is a one-to-one correspondence between colorings $F = (g, f)$ of $\mathcal{T}$ and colorings $F' = (g', f')$ of $\mathcal{T}'$ such that,

1. for each 2-simplex $\beta \in \mathcal{T}^2$, $d_{f(\beta)} = d_{f'(\beta_s)}$.

2. for each 3-simplex $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^3$, there is an isomorphism:

$$\Phi_{s,\tau} : V_F^{\pm}(\tau) \xrightarrow{\simeq} V_{F'}^{\pm\epsilon(s_\tau)}(\tau_s),$$

   where $\epsilon(s_\tau)$ is the sign of $\epsilon(s_\tau)$ taking values in $\{+,-\}$ and we use the convention that $++ = -- = +, +- = -+ = -$. The isomorphism

$$\Phi_{s,\tau} \otimes \Phi_{s,\tau} : V_F^-(\tau) \otimes V_F^+(\tau) \longrightarrow V_{F'}^-(\tau_s) \otimes V_{Fs}^+(\tau_s) \,(\text{or } V_{F'}^+(\tau_s) \otimes V_{Fs}^-(\tau_s))$$

   maps $\phi_{F,\tau}$ to ϕ_{F',τ_s} (up to a permutation of the tensor components). Let $\Phi_s = \bigotimes_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}^3} (\Phi_{s,\tau} \otimes \Phi_{s,\tau})$. Then Φ_s maps ϕ_F to $\phi_{F'}$.

3. for each 4-simplex $\sigma = (01234)$, let

$$V_F^+(\partial\sigma) = V_F^+(0234) \otimes V_F^+(0124) \otimes V_F^-(1234) \otimes V_F^-(0134) \otimes V_F^-(0123)$$
$$V_F^-(\partial\sigma) = V_F^-(0234) \otimes V_F^-(0124) \otimes V_F^+(1234) \otimes V_F^+(0134) \otimes V_F^+(0123).$$

   Then $\tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ is a functional on $V_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\partial\sigma)$. The map

$$\Phi_{s,\sigma} := \Phi_{s,0234} \otimes \Phi_{s,0124} \otimes \Phi_{s,1234} \otimes \Phi_{s,0134} \otimes \Phi_{s,0123}$$

   is an isomorphism from $V_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\partial\sigma)$ to $V_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\partial\sigma_s)$, and moreover $\tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) = \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s) \circ \Phi_{s,\sigma}$.



Figure 20: The change of coloring on a 2-simplex

The above conditions are sufficient to show $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) = Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}')$, as illustrated in Theorem 5.7. Now we build the correspondence between F and F'. Given a coloring $F = (g, f)$, define $F' = (g', f')$ as follows.

For each 1-simplex $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}^1$, define $g'(\alpha_s) = g(\alpha)$ if s_α is the identity permutation and $g'(\alpha_s) = g(\alpha)^{-1}$ otherwise. (In the latter case, s_α is the swap permutation in S_2.)

For each 2-simplex $\beta = (012) \in \mathcal{T}^2$, there are three possibilities for s_β, namely, $s_\beta = Id$, s_0, s_1. Define

$$f'(\beta_s) = \begin{cases} f(\beta) & s_\beta = Id \\ f(\beta)^* & s_\beta = s_0 \\ {}^{g(12)}f(\beta)^* & s_\beta = s_1. \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

Graphically, this is illustrated in Figure 20. One can check that $F' = (g', f')$ satisfies the constraint of a coloring. The correspondence is apparently one-to-one. Also, it is clear that $d_{f(\beta)} = d_{f'(\beta_s)}$.

For each 3-simplex $\tau = (0123)$, s_τ could be Id, s_0, s_1 or s_2. If $s_\tau = Id$, for every boundary 2-simplex (ijk) of τ, $s_{(ijk)} = Id$, thus (ijk) and $(ijk)_s$ have the same color and $V_F^\pm(\tau) = V_{F'}^\pm(\tau_s)$. In this case, $\epsilon(s_\tau) = +$, and define

$$\Phi_{Id,\tau} = Id : V_F^\pm(\tau) \xrightarrow{\simeq} V_{F'}^{\pm\epsilon(s_\tau)}(\tau_{Id}).$$

If $s_\tau = s_0$, then $s_{(023)} = s_{(123)} = Id$, $s_{(012)} = s_{(013)} = s_0$, $s_{(23)} = Id$. Note that by definition, the correspondence between 2-simplices of τ and those of τ_s is given by: $(023)_s = (123), (123)_s = (023), (012)_s = (012), (013)_s = (013)$. Hence in τ_s, the coloring of each 2-simplex is given as follows: $f'(123) = f(023), f'(023) = f(123), f'(012) = f(012)^*, f'(013) = f(013)^*$. Also we have $g'(23) = g(23)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{F'}^+(\tau_s) &= \mathrm{Hom}(f'_{023} \otimes {}^{\overline{g'}_{23}}f'_{012}, f'_{013} \otimes f'_{123}) &&= \mathrm{Hom}(f_{123} \otimes {}^{\overline{g}_{23}}(f^*_{012}), f^*_{013} \otimes f_{023}) \\ V_{F'}^-(\tau_s) &= \mathrm{Hom}(f'_{013} \otimes f'_{123}, f'_{023} \otimes {}^{\overline{g'}_{23}}f'_{012}) &&= \mathrm{Hom}(f^*_{013} \otimes f_{023}, f_{123} \otimes {}^{\overline{g}_{23}}(f^*_{012})). \end{aligned}$$

Define the isomorphism $\Phi_{s_0,\tau} : V_F^\pm(\tau) \longrightarrow V_{F'}^\mp(\tau_{s_0})$ by graph diagrams as shown in Figure 21.

Similarly, if $s = s_1$ or s_2, we leave it as an exercise to work out the details of the colorings of τ_s. The isomorphisms $\Phi_{s_1,\tau}$ and $\Phi_{s_2,\tau}$ are defined as shown in Figure 22 and 23, respectively. The following lemma is straight forward.

Lemma 5.5. *The isomorphism $\Phi_{s,\tau} \otimes \Phi_{s,\tau}$ preserves the pairing on $V_F^-(\tau) \otimes V_F^+(\tau)$. Consequently, $\Phi_{s,\tau} \otimes \Phi_{s,\tau}$ maps $\phi_{F,\tau}$ to ϕ_{F',τ_s}.*

Finally we consider the reordering of 4-simplices. Let $\sigma = (01234)$ be any 4-simplex of $\mathcal{T}$, then $s_\sigma = Id$, s_0, s_1, s_2, or s_3. Let

$$\Phi_{s,\sigma} = \Phi_{s,0234} \otimes \Phi_{s,0124} \otimes \Phi_{s,1234} \otimes \Phi_{s,0134} \otimes \Phi_{s,0123} : V_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) \longrightarrow V_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s).$$

If $s_\sigma = Id$, it is clear that for any 3-simplex τ of σ we have $V_F^\pm(\tau) = V_{F'}^\pm(\tau_s)$, $s_\tau = Id$ and thus $\Phi_{s,\tau} = Id$ implying $\Phi_{s,\sigma} = Id$. In this case, the equality $\tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) = \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s) \circ \Phi_{s,\sigma}$ automatically holds. The following lemma asserts that in other cases it also holds.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\sigma = (01234)$ be any 4-simplex of $\mathcal{T}$, then $\tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) = \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s) \circ \Phi_{s,\sigma}$.*



Figure 21: Definition of $\Phi_{s_0,\tau}$



Figure 22: Definition of $\Phi_{s_1,\tau}$



Figure 23: Definition of $\Phi_{s_2,\tau}$

Proof. If $s_\sigma = Id$, the equality is shown above. If $s_\sigma = s_0$, s_1, s_2, or s_3, then $\epsilon(\sigma) = -\epsilon(\sigma_s)$. We need to show $\tilde{Z}_F^{\pm}(\sigma) = \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\mp}(\sigma_s) \circ \Phi_{s,\sigma}$.

We show the proof for the case $s_\sigma = s_0$. Other cases can be done similarly. If $s = s_0$, then the correspondence between 3-simplices of σ and those of σ_s are given by

$$(0234)_s = (1234),\ (0124)_s = (0124),\ (1234)_s = (0234),\ (0134)_s = (0134),\ (0123)_s = (0123).$$

And the restriction of s on each 3-simplex is given by

$$s_{(0234)} = Id,\ s_{(0124)} = s_0,\ s_{(1234)} = Id,\ s_{(0134)} = s_0,\ s_{(0123)} = s_0.$$

Thus, $\Phi_{s,\sigma}$ can be expressed as

$$V_F^+(0234) \otimes V_F^+(0124) \otimes V_F^-(1234) \otimes V_F^-(0134) \otimes V_F^-(0123) \longrightarrow V_{F'}^+(1234) \otimes V_{F'}^-(0124) \otimes V_{F'}^-(0234) \otimes V_{F'}^+(0134) \otimes V_{F'}^+(0123)$$
$$\psi_0 \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \psi_3 \otimes \psi_4 \longmapsto \psi_0 \otimes \Phi_{s_0,(0124)}(\psi_1) \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \Phi_{s_0,(0134)}(\psi_3) \otimes \Phi_{s_0,(0123)}(\psi_4).$$

Then identity $\tilde{Z}_F^+(\sigma) = \tilde{Z}_{F'}^-(\sigma_s) \circ \Phi_{s,\sigma}$ is obtained by inserting the ψ_i into the corresponding rectangle in Figure 13 (Left), inserting the image of ψ_i under the above map into the corresponding rectangle in Figure 13 (Right), and showing the resulting two graph diagrams are isotopic. □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of the subsection on the independence of the partition function on the ordering of vertices.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ be two ordered triangulations of M which are the same when the ordering is ignored, then $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) = Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}')$.*

Proof. As analyzed at the beginning of this subsection, it suffices to consider the case where $\mathcal{T}'$ is obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by some $s = s_i \in \mathrm{S}_N$, $N = |\mathcal{T}^0|$. Given any coloring $F = (g, f)$ of $\mathcal{T}$, let $F' = (g', f')$ be the corresponding coloring of $\mathcal{T}'$. Then for any 2-simplex $\beta \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $d_{f(\beta)} = d_{f'(\beta_s)}$. Let

$$\Phi_s = \bigotimes_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}^3} \Phi_{s,\tau} \otimes \Phi_{s,\tau} : V_F(= \bigotimes_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}^3} V_F^-(\tau) \otimes V_F^+(\tau)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} V_{F'}$$

By Lemma 5.5, $(\Phi_{s,\tau} \otimes \Phi_{s,\tau})(\phi_{F,\tau}) = \phi_{F',\tau_s}$. Therefore, $\Phi_s(\phi_F) = \phi_{F'}$.

By Lemma 5.6, for any 4-simplex σ, $\tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) = \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s) \circ \Phi_{s,\sigma}$. Thus,

$$\bigotimes_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) = \bigotimes_{\sigma_s \in (\mathcal{T}')^4} \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s) \circ \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^4} \Phi_{s,\sigma}.$$



Figure 24: Pachner move $(1,2)$; $n=1$



Figure 25: Pachner moves $(1,3)$, $(2,2)$; $n=2$

By virtual of the definition, $\Phi_s = \bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \Phi_{s,\sigma}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_{f(\alpha)}\,(\bigotimes_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \tilde{Z}_F^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma))(\phi_F) &= \prod_{\alpha_s\in(\mathcal{T}')^2} d_{f'(\alpha_s)}\,(\bigotimes_{\sigma_s\in(\mathcal{T}')^4} \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s)\circ\Phi_s)(\phi_F)\\ &= \prod_{\alpha_s\in(\mathcal{T}')^2} d_{f'(\alpha_s)}\,(\bigotimes_{\sigma_s\in(\mathcal{T}')^4} \tilde{Z}_{F'}^{\epsilon(\sigma_s)}(\sigma_s))(\phi_{F'})\end{aligned}$$

□

5.3 Pachner Moves

Let $M=M^n$ be any closed manifold of dimension n. A triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of M means a simplicial complex $\mathcal{T}$ such that the underline topological space $\mathcal{T}^{top}$ is homeomorphic to M. Pachner moves are a set of local operations on triangulations which convert a triangulation of M to any other triangulation.

Let σ_{n+1} be any $(n+1)$-simplex. Its boundary, denoted by $\partial(\sigma_{n+1})$, consists of $n+2$ n-simplices. Let $\partial(\sigma_{n+1}) = I\sqcup J$ be a bi-partition of $\partial(\sigma_{n+1})$ into (disjoint) sets I and J such that $|I|=k, |J|=n+2-k$. We say two triangulations $\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}'$ are related by a pachner move of type $(k,n+2-k)$ if $I\subset\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'=(\mathcal{T}\setminus I)\cup J$ for some I,J. Apparently, pachner moves of types $(k,n+2-k)$ and $(n+2-k,k)$ are inverse operations if the partitions are taken to be (I,J) and (J,I) respectively. If two triangulations are related by a pachner move, then their underlying spaces are homeomorphic. The converse is also as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *[37] Let $M=M^n$ a closed manifold and $\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}'$ be two triangulations of M, then there is a sequence of triangulations of M, $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}_0,\mathcal{T}_1,\cdots,\mathcal{T}_k=\mathcal{T}'$, such that any two neighboring triangulations are related by a pachner move of type $(k,n+2-k)$, $1\le k\le \frac{n}{2}+1$, or its inverse.*

Some examples of pachner moves are listed here.

If $dim(M)=n=1$, we have pachner moves of type $(1,2)$ and $(2,1)$ which are inverse to each other. See Figure 24.

If $n=2$, we have pachner moves of type $(1,3)$, $(2,2)$, and $(3,1)$. See Figure 25.

We are more interested in the case $n=4$, where we have pachner moves of types $(3,3),(2,4),(1,5)$ and their inverses. Given a 5-simplex σ_5, we order its vertices by $0,1,2,3,4$, and denote the face which does not contain the vertex i by $(0\cdots\hat{i}\cdots 4)$. For each type of pachner moves, we pick a specific partition I,J, and call it the *typical* pachner move. The *typical* pachner moves for $n=4$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(02345)(01245)(01234) &\overset{(3,3)}{\longleftrightarrow} (12345)(01345)(01235)\\ (02345)(01245)(01234)(12345) &\overset{(2,4)}{\longleftrightarrow} (01345)(01235)\\ (02345)(01245)(01234)(12345)(01345) &\overset{(1,5)}{\longleftrightarrow} (01235)\end{aligned}$$

	I_k			I'_k		
$k=4$	02345	01245	01234	12345	01345	01235
$k=3$	0124	0234	0245	0135	1235	1345
$k=2$	024			135		

Table 1: Comparison of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ for 3-3 move

	I_k			I'_k
$k=4$	02345	01245	01234	01345 01235
	12345			
$k=3$	0124	0234	0245	0135
	2345	1245	1234	
$k=2$	024			
	245	234	124	
$k=1$	24			

Table 2: Comparison of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ for 2-4 move

5.4 Invariance under Pachner Moves

We prove that the partition function $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ defined in Section 3 is invariant under pachner moves. Since in Section 5.2 it has been shown that $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T})$ does not depend on the ordering of the vertices, we only need to consider *typical* pachner moves of types 3-3, 2-4, and 1-5. Let $\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}'$ be two ordered triangulations whose vertices are ordered in such a way that they only differ by a *typical* pachner move. In each of the cases, let $I_k = \mathcal{T}^k \setminus (\mathcal{T}')^k$ be the set of k-simplices which belong to $\mathcal{T}$ but not $\mathcal{T}'$, and similarly let $I'_k = (\mathcal{T}')^k \setminus \mathcal{T}^k$. Tables 1, 2, and 3 list the differences between $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ corresponding to each *typical* pachner move 3-3, 2-4, and 1-5, respectively. Without loss of generality, assume the 4-simplices 02345, 01245, 01234 in $\mathcal{T}$ are positive, then 12345, 01345, 01235 in $\mathcal{T}'$ are positive, and if any of 12345, 01345, 01235 are transported to $\mathcal{T}$, they become negative.

By a complete set of representatives we mean a set of objects which contains exactly one representative for each isomorphism class of simple objects. For the rest of the section, a summation variable concerning simple objects in the category is always assumed to run through an arbitrary complete set of representatives, unless otherwise stated. Recall that an extended coloring is a map $\hat{F} = (g, f, t)$, which assigns a group element to each 1-simplex, an isomorphism class of simple objects to each 2-, and 3-simplex, such that these assignments satisfy some restrictions, see Definition 3.5. Then to define the partition function, a representative is arbitrarily chosen for each 2- and 3-simplex with an extended coloring. The partition function is a sum over all extended colorings, see Equation 24. In light of the observations above, we can rephrase the definition of the partition function as follows.

We let each 1-simplex run through the set of all elements in G, and let each 2-, 3-simplex run through an arbitrary complete set of representatives (i.e., each 2-, 3-simplex has its own complete set). Then an

	I_k						I'_k
$k=4$	02345	01245	01234	12345			01235
	01345						
$k=3$	0124	0234	0245	2345	1245	1234	
	0134	0145	1345	0345			
$k=2$	024	245	234	124			
	034	014	134	045	145	345	
$k=1$	24						
	04	14	34	45			
$k=0$	4						

Table 3: Comparison of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ for 1-5 move

extended coloring can be viewed as a choice of value for 1-, 2-, and 3-simplices, so that the resulting configuration satisfies the restriction in Definition 3.5. If a configuration is not an extended coloring, we set its contribution to zero. As before, we denote the color of a simplex by the simplex itself. Then Equation 24 can be rewritten as Equation 46, where we have omitted the dependence of $\hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ $\hat{F}$.

$$Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\mathcal{T}^1,\mathcal{T}^2,\mathcal{T}^3} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|\mathcal{T}^0|}}{(D^2)^{|\mathcal{T}^1|}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{T}^2} d_\alpha}{\prod_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}^3} d_\tau} \prod_{\sigma\in\mathcal{T}^4} \hat{Z}^{\epsilon(\sigma)}(\sigma) \tag{46}$$

By Equation 46, to prove $Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}) = Z_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(M;\mathcal{T}')$, it suffices to show that Equations 47, 48, and 49 hold, corresponding to the *typical* pachner move 3-3, 2-4, 1-5, respectively, where all simplices which do not present among the summation are assumed to have a fixed coloring on them.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{I_2,\\ I_3}} \frac{d_{024}}{d_{0124}d_{0234}d_{0245}} \hat{Z}^+(01234)\hat{Z}^+(01245)\hat{Z}^+(02345)\\ =&\sum_{\substack{I_2',\\ I_3'}} \frac{d_{135}}{d_{0135}d_{1235}d_{1345}} \hat{Z}^+(01235)\hat{Z}^+(01345)\hat{Z}^+(12345)\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{I_1,\\ I_2,\\ I_3}} \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\prod_{\alpha\in I_2} d_\alpha}{\prod_{\tau\in I_3} d_\tau} \hat{Z}^+(01234)\hat{Z}^+(01245)\hat{Z}^+(02345)\hat{Z}^-(12345)\\ =&\sum_{I_3'} \frac{1}{d_{0135}} \hat{Z}^+(01235)\hat{Z}^+(01345)\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{I_0,I_1,I_2,I_3} \frac{(D^2/|G|)^{|I_0|}}{(D^2)^{|I_1|}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha\in I_2} d_\alpha}{\prod_{\tau\in I_3} d_\tau} \hat{Z}^+(01234)\hat{Z}^+(01245)\hat{Z}^+(02345)\hat{Z}^-(12345)\hat{Z}^-(01345)\\ =&\hat{Z}^+(01235)\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

We give the proof of Equation 47 below and leave the proof of Equation 48 and Equation 49 as an exercise. In the proof, the following simple lemmas will be heavily used. We take one more convention that *all diagrams of morphisms in figures of this subsection are assumed to have their top and bottom identified*, namely, the diagrams in figures are actually the trace of the morphisms drawn.

Lemma 5.9 (Merging Formula)**.** *Let A, B be two objects of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$, and $\{e_{A,B,i} : i \in I\}, \{e_{B,A,j} : j \in I\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(B,A)$, respectively, such that $\langle e_{A,B,i}, e_{B,A,j}\rangle = \alpha_i\delta_{i,j}$, then for $F \in \mathrm{Hom}(A,B), G \in \mathrm{Hom}(B,A)$, we have $\langle G, F\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{\alpha_i}\langle G, e_{A,B,i}\rangle\langle F, e_{B,A,i}\rangle$. In particular,*

1. *Let $F \in \mathrm{Hom}(a\otimes b, c\otimes d)$, $G \in \mathrm{Hom}(c\otimes d, a\otimes b)$, then*

$$\langle G, F\rangle = \sum_x \frac{1}{d_x}\langle F, B^x_{cd,ab}\rangle\langle G, B^x_{ab,cd}\rangle.$$

2. *Let $F \in \mathrm{Hom}(a\otimes b\otimes c, d\otimes e\otimes f)$, $G \in \mathrm{Hom}(d\otimes e\otimes f, a\otimes b\otimes c)$, then*

$$\langle G, F\rangle = \sum_{x,y,z} \frac{d_z}{d_x d_y}\langle F, (Id\otimes B^y_{zf,bc})\circ(B^x_{de,az}\otimes Id)\rangle\langle G, (B^x_{az,de}\otimes Id)\circ(Id\otimes B^y_{bc,zf})\rangle.$$



Figure 26: Trace Identity (1)



Figure 27: Trace Identity (2)



Figure 28: Dimension Identity

The identities in Part 1 *and Part* 2 *are also illustrated in Figure 26 and Figure 27, respectively.*

Lemma 5.10. *Let $g, g' \in G$ and a, b, c, d, e be simple objects of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ such that $a \otimes b \in \mathcal{C}_{gg'}$, then the equality is Figure 28 holds.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS} &= \sum_{c,d,e} \frac{d_c d_d}{d_e} N_{cd}^e B_{ab,ab}^e & &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{C}_{gg'}} \sum_{c\in\mathcal{C}_g} \frac{d_c}{d_e} B_{ab,ab}^e \sum_{d\in\mathcal{C}_{g'}} d_{d^*} N_{e^*c}^{d^*} \\
&= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{C}_{gg'}} \sum_{c\in\mathcal{C}_g} \frac{d_c^2 d_{e^*}}{d_e} B_{ab,ab}^e & &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{C}_{gg'}} \frac{D^2}{|G|} B_{ab,ab}^e \\
&= \frac{D^2}{|G|} Id_{a\otimes b}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.11. *Let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ be two ordered triangulations which differ by a typical* 3-3 *pachner move, see Table 1 for their comparison. Then the identity in Equation 47 holds, assuming all simplices which do not present among the summation variables have been assigned a fixed coloring.*

Proof. The proof is best illustrated using picture calculus and Lemma 5.9. Note that the top and bottom of each diagram (see below) are identified, so within each diagram morphisms are cyclically ordered, namely, one can move a morphism on the bottom to the top and vice versa. Also, the summation terms are written below the diagrams for convenience. It is direct to check that the final diagrams in both LHS and RHS represent the same morphism, which justifies the equation. Below we give a brief explanation of each "= " sign in the diagrams.

LHS:

① By definition.

② Apply $\overline{45}$ to the first diagram. Move the top two morphisms involving $0245, 0234$ in the third diagram to the bottom.

③ Apply Lemma 5.9 to the second and third diagram.

④ Isotope the second diagram.

⑤ Apply Lemma 5.9.

RHS:

① By definition.

② Apply Lemma 5.9 to the first and third diagram.

③ Apply Lemma 5.9 to the two diagrams.

④ Isotope the diagram. Note that the group element acting on 0123 changes from $\overline{35}$ to $\overline{45}\,\overline{34}$ due to braiding.

□


LHS
①
②
③
④
⑤
$\sum_{I_2,I_3} \frac{d_{024}}{d_{0124}d_{0234}d_{0245}}$
$\sum_{I_2,I_3} \frac{d_{024}}{d_{0124}d_{0234}d_{0245}}$
$\sum_{024,0124,0234} \frac{d_{024}}{d_{0124}d_{0234}}$
$\sum_{024,0124,0234} \frac{d_{024}}{d_{0124}d_{0234}}$

RHS $\overset{①}{=}$



$\overset{②}{=}$

$$\sum_{I_2',I_3'} \frac{d_{135}}{d_{0135}d_{1235}d_{1345}}$$



$\overset{③}{=}$



$\overset{④}{=}$



$$\sum_{135,0135,1345} \frac{d_{135}}{d_{0135}d_{1345}}$$

6 Monoidal 2-categories with Duals

The purpose of this section is to show that a monoidal 2-category with certain extra structures can be constructed from a G-BSFC. But the resulting monoidal 2-category in general does not satisfy the definition of a sherical fusion 2-category given in [34]. On one hand, by analogy to the $(2+1)$ dimension, the most general state-sum construction of a $(3+1)$-TQFT should be from a spherical fusion 2-category; on the other hand, we have shown that a $(3+1)$-TQFT is obtained from a G-BSFC. This implies that a better definition of a spherical fusion 2-category is required. Here we do not intend to list the full details of monoidal 2-categories as it would bring too much distraction. Rather we only give the core structures and refer the readers to [24][5][34] for more discussions.

A (strict) 2-category has 0-morphisms (objects), 1-morphisms, and 2-morphisms. Our notations for each level of morphisms in a 2-category will be slightly different from the literature for reasons that will become clear below. Here 0-morphisms will be denoted by lower-case letter g possibly with subscripts such as g, g_1, g_2, etc., 1-morphisms by capital letters A, B, C, etc., and 2-morphisms by Greek letters α, β, γ, etc. Also it is more convenient to write the composition of morphisms from left to right. We will take this convention only in this section. Between any two objects g_1, g_2, there is a set of 1-morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$ and between any two 1-morphisms A, B with the same the domain and codomain, there is a set of 2-morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}^2(A, B)$. We use regular arrows for 1-morphisms and double arrows for 2-morphisms. The 1- and 2-morphisms can be composed. Explicitly,

- composition of 1-morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \circ_h \ : \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1,g_2)\times \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_2,g_3) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1,g_3) \\ (A,B) & \longmapsto & A\circ_h B \end{array}$$

- 'vertical' composition of 2-morphisms: for $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} *_v \ : \mathrm{Hom}^2(A,B)\times \mathrm{Hom}^2(B,C) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}^2(A,C) \\ (\alpha,\beta) & \longmapsto & \alpha *_v \beta \end{array}$$

- 'horizontal' composition of 2-morphisms: for $A, A' \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2), B, B' \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_2, g_3)$,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \circ_h \ : \mathrm{Hom}^2(A,A')\times \mathrm{Hom}^2(B,B') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}^2(A\circ_h B, A'\circ_h B') \\ (\alpha,\beta) & \longmapsto & \alpha\circ_h \beta \end{array}$$

These compositions satisfy various associativity axioms. (In the non-strict case, the associativity axioms need to be replaced by certain Pentagon Identity similar to the case of monoidal categories.) In fact, monoidal categories are in one-to-one correspondence with 2-categories with one object. Thus, a 2-category is in some sense a generalization of monoidal categories. And similar to the case of monoidal categories, the coherence theorem states that every 2-category is equivalent to a strict one in an appropriate sense.

A (semi-strict) monoidal 2-category with duals is a (strict) 2-category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with the following structures:

1. For objects g_1, g_2, there is an object $g_1 \boxtimes g_2$;
2. For an object g, $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$, there are 1-morphisms $A \boxtimes g \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1 \boxtimes g, g_2 \boxtimes g)$ and $g \boxtimes A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g \boxtimes g_1, g \boxtimes g_2)$;
3. For an object g, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A, B)$, there are 2-morphisms $\alpha \boxtimes g \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A \boxtimes g, B \boxtimes g)$ and $g \boxtimes \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(g \boxtimes A, g \boxtimes B)$;
4. For $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2), A' \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1', g_2')$, there is a 2-morphism, called the tensorator, $\boxtimes_{A,A'} : (g_1 \boxtimes A') \circ_h (A \boxtimes g_2') \Longrightarrow (A \boxtimes g_1') \circ_h (g_2 \boxtimes A')$;
5. There is a unit object e.

6. For $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$, there is a 1-morphism $A^* \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_2, g_1)$, a 2-morphism $i_A : Id_{g_1} \Longrightarrow A \circ_h A^*$, and a 2-morphism $e_A : A^* \circ_h A \Longrightarrow I_{g_2}$ called the dual, the unit, and the counit of A, respectively;

7. For an object g, there is an object g^*, a 1-morphism $i_g : e \longrightarrow g \boxtimes g^*$ and a 1-morphism $e_g : g^* \boxtimes g \longrightarrow e$ called the dual, the unit and the counit of g, respectively, and a 2-morphism $T_g : (i_g \boxtimes g) \circ_h (g \boxtimes e_g) \Longrightarrow Id_g$ called the triangulator of g.

8. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A, B)$, there is a 2-morphism $\alpha^* \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(B, A)$ called the dual of α.

Again these structures need satisfy certain compatible axioms. See [5].

Remark 6.1. *In Item 8 above, if $\alpha^* = \alpha^{-1}$, then α is called unitary [5]. We call the $(\cdot)^*$ operation on 2-morphisms the unitarity structure. From another aspect, it will be shown below that from a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ one can construct a monoidal 2-category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ which has all the structures of a monoidal 2-category with duals except the unitarity structure. But if the G-BSFC is also unitary, then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has the unitarity structure. For this reason, we call a category satisfying the above definitions a unitary monoidal 2-category with duals, and a category satisfying the above definitions except lacking the unitarity structure a monoidal 2-category with duals.*

Now we show that from a G-BSFC $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ we can construct a monoidal 2-category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with duals and certain extra structures as well.

- Obejcts of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are elements of G.
- $\mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$ consists of objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_1 g_2}$ for $g_1, g_2 \in G$; namely, the 1-morphisms from g_1 to g_2 are objects in $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{g}_1 g_2}$, where $\overline{g}_1$ is the inverse of g_1.
- $\mathrm{Hom}^2(A, B) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_G^\times}(A, B)$ for $A, B \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2) = \mathcal{C}^0_{\overline{g}_1 g_2}$; namely, the 2-morphisms from A to B are the regular morphisms from A to B in $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$.
- For $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2), B \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_2, g_3)$, the composition $A \circ_h B := A \otimes B \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_3)$.
- For $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A, B), \beta \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(B, C)$, the vertical composition $\alpha *_v \beta := \alpha \circ \beta \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A, C)$; namely, vertical composition in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponds to regular composition in $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$.
- For $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A, A'), \beta \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(B, B')$, where $A, A' \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$, $B, B' \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_2, g_3)$, the horizontal composition $\alpha \circ_h \beta := \alpha \otimes \beta \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A \circ_h B, A' \circ_h B') = \mathrm{Hom}^2(A \otimes B, A' \otimes B')$.

One can check this defines a 2-category. Now we introduce structures on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponding to the definition of monoidal 2-categories with duals.

1. $g_1 \boxtimes g_2 := g_1 g_2$.

2. For an object g, $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$, $A \boxtimes g := {}^{\overline{g}}A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1 g, g_2 g)$ and $g \boxtimes A := A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g g_1, g g_2)$. Note that as a set, $\mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2) = \mathrm{Hom}^1(g g_1, g g_2)$.

3. For an object g, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A, B)$, $\alpha \boxtimes g := {}^{\overline{g}}\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A \boxtimes g, B \boxtimes g) = \mathrm{Hom}^2({}^{\overline{g}}A, {}^{\overline{g}}B)$ and $g \boxtimes \alpha := \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(g \boxtimes A, g \boxtimes B) = \mathrm{Hom}^2(A, B)$.

4. For $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2), A' \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1', g_2')$, note that $(g_1 \boxtimes A') \circ_h (A \boxtimes g_2') = A' \otimes {}^{\overline{g_2'}}A$ and $(A \boxtimes g_1') \circ_h (g_2 \boxtimes A') = {}^{\overline{g_1'}}A \otimes A'$. Define the tensorator $\boxtimes_{A,A'} := c_{A', {}^{\overline{g_2'}}A}$.

5. The unit object e is the unit of G.

6. For $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1, g_2)$, A^* is the same as the dual of A when viewed as an object of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$; The i_A and e_A are defined as b_A and d_A, respectively.

7. For an object g, $g^* := \bar{g}$, and i_g, e_g are defined as the unit $\mathbf{1}$ of $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$, and T_g is the identity map $Id_{\mathbf{1}}$.

8. If $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ is unitary, for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A,B)$, $\alpha^* := \alpha^\dagger \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(B,A)$. Note that here the notations are a little confusing: α^* is not the usual dual of α viewed as a 1-morphism in $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$.

It is direct, though a little tedious, to check a (unitary) G-BSFC produces a (unitary) monoidal 2-category with duals according to the above definitions. We continue to show that actually there are more structures in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Given $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1,g_2)$, define ${}^{\#}A := {}^{g_2}A$, $A^{\#} := {}^{g_1}A \in \mathrm{Hom}(\bar{g}_2,\bar{g}_1)$ as follows [34].

$$\bar{g}_2 \xrightarrow{=} \bar{g}_1 \boxtimes g_1 \boxtimes \bar{g}_2 \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_1\boxtimes A\boxtimes \bar{g}_2} \bar{g}_1 \boxtimes g_2 \boxtimes \bar{g}_2 \xrightarrow{=} \bar{g}_1$$

$$\bar{g}_2 \xrightarrow{=} \bar{g}_2 \boxtimes g_1 \boxtimes \bar{g}_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_2\boxtimes A\boxtimes \bar{g}_1} \bar{g}_2 \boxtimes g_2 \boxtimes \bar{g}_1 \xrightarrow{=} \bar{g}_1$$

Similarly, for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2(A,B), A, B \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g_1,g_2)$, define ${}^{\#}\alpha := {}^{g_2}\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^2({}^{\#}A, {}^{\#}B)$, $\alpha^{\#} := {}^{g_1}\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(A^{\#}, B^{\#})$. Note that the twist in $\mathcal{C}_G^\times$ gives an isomorphism:

$$\theta_{A^{\#}}^{-1} : {}^{\#}A \longrightarrow A^{\#}$$

According to Definition 2.4 in [34], the isomorphisms $\theta_{A^{\#}}^{-1}$ make $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ a pivotal 2-category. According to Definition 2.5 in [34], for any $g \in G$, $A \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(g,g) = \mathcal{C}_e$, the left trace $\mathrm{Tr}_L(A) := g \boxtimes A = A$ and the right trace $\mathrm{Tr}_R(A) := A \boxtimes g = {}^{\bar{g}}A$. According to Definition 2.8 in [34], for the category to be spherical, there should be a 2-isomorphism $\sigma_A : \mathrm{Tr}_L(A) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Tr}_R(A)$ which satisfies certain conditions as specified there. However, in a G-BSFC, this implies that for any $A \in \mathcal{C}_e, g \in G$, there would be an isomorphism $\sigma_A : A \longrightarrow {}^{\bar{g}}A$, which in general does not exist.

In [34], a monoidal 2-category is defined to be finitely semi-simple if there is a finite non-empty set of objects S, such that for any pair of objects g_1, g_2,

$$\bigoplus_{g\in S} \mathrm{Hom}(g_1,g) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(g,g_2) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}(g_1,g_2),$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}(g_1,g_2)$ means the category whose objects are 1-morphisms between g_1 and g_2, morphisms are 2-morphisms. We show the monoidal 2-category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ constructed from a G-BSFC also violates this property. Take $G = \{e\}$, then $\mathcal{C}_G^\times = \mathcal{C}$ is just a ribbon fusion category, and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has a single object e and $\mathrm{Hom}(e,e) = \mathcal{C}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ were semi-simple, then $S = \{e\}$, and $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}$, which again does not hold in general.

To summarize, starting from a (unitary) G-BSFC, a (unitary) monoidal 2-category with duals can be constructed, which in general does not satisfy the axioms of a semi-simple spherical 2-category.

7 Open Questions and Future Directions

We have constructed a 4-manifold invariant out of a G-crossed braided spherical fusion category and this invariant is a generalization of several known invariants in literature. Here we point out a few directions/questions for future study.

1. What is the power of the invariant? Can they distinguish any smooth structures?

   The Crane-Yetter invariant from a modular category is a classical invariant, which can be expressed in terms of the signature and the Euler characteristic [10]. It would be interesting to also give the invariant from a G-BSFC an interpretation in terms of the intrinsic properties of the manifolds. We believe that our invariant is related to the homotopy 3-types with the exact relation to be studied in the future.

2. The definition of the current invariant is based on a triangulation of 4-manifolds. In terms of calculations, this is not very efficient since the triangulations of most interesting 4-manifolds contain a fairly large number of simplices, which makes it impractical to compute the invariant for most manifolds. It would be useful if the invariant can be defined in terms of other presentations of the manifolds such as kirby diagrams. Note that the Crane-Yetter invariant indeed has such a definition.

3. It is appealing to generalize the invariant in the following three directions.

   In [49] and [39], a refined version of the Crane-Yetter invariant was introduced, namely, the invariant was associated with a pair (M, ω), where M is a closed oriented 4-manifold and $\omega \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and the original Crane-Yetter invariant is a normalized sum of the refined invariant over all $\omega \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Moreover, the refined invariant gives a state sum formula of the second Stiefel-Whitney class and the Pontrjagin squares of second cohomology classes. It is interesting to see if our invariant also has a similar refinement.

   Secondly, the extension of a braided spherical fusion category to a G-BSFC depends on the vanishing condition of some obstruction in $O_4 \in H^4(G, U(1))$. We conjecture that even if this obstruction does not vanish, one can still get a 4-manifold invariant from some structures beyond G-BSFCs.

   Lastly but more importantly, we are interested in defining a G-BSFC where G is allowed to be an infinite compact Lie group, such as $U(1)$, and in modifying the state sum model so that the partition function converges. It is expected that the resulting invariant would be much stronger than the current one, and might be related to Donaldson/Seiberg-Witten invariants.

4. By [16][31][30], equivalent classes of G-BSFCs with a faithful grading are in one-to-one correspondence, by equivariatization and de-equivariatization, with equivalent classes of ribbon fusion categories containing $Rep(G)$ as a subcategory. Ribbon fusion categories are the input to the Crane-Yetter invariant. Thus we wonder whether there are any relations between the invariant from a G-BSFC with a faithful grading and Crane-Yetter invariant from the corresponding ribbon fusion category.

5. In $(2+1)$ dimension, a non-semisimple generalization of the Turaev-Viro invariant is the Kuperberg invariant [32], which is defined based on certain Hopf algebras. Our invariant is a $(3+1)$ analog of the Turaev-Viro invariant. Thus it is interesting to see what the $(3+1)$ analog of the Kuperberg invariant is. We speculate that the relevant algebraic structure to the $(3+1)$ Kuperberg invariant is some special class of Hopf algebras. In [26], a 4-manifold invariant is produced from the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_N$. We think this should be a special case of the $(3+1)$ Kuperberg invariant where the Hopf algebra is taken to be $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_N]$.

Acknowledgment The author acknowledges the support from the Simons Foundation. The author would also like to thank Zhenghan Wang and Cesar Galindo for helpful discussions.

References

[1] Michael Atiyah. Topological quantum field theories. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 68(1):175–186, 1988.

[2] J. C. Baez and J. Dolan. Higher dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 36:6073–6105, 1995.

[3] John C. Baez. An introduction to n-categories. *7th Conference on Category Theory and Computer*, 1997. arXiv:q-alg/9705009.

[4] John C Baez and James Dolan. Higher-dimensional algebra III: n-categories and the algebra of opetopes. *Advances in Mathematics*, 135(2):145–206, 1998.

[5] John C. Baez and Laurel Langford. Higher-dimensional algebra IV: 2-tangles. *Advances in Mathematics*, 180(2):705 – 764, 2003.

[6] Bojko Bakalov and Alexander Kirillov, Jr. *Lectures on Tensor Categories and Modular Functors*, volume 21. 2001.

[7] Maissam Barkeshli, Parsa Bonderson, Meng Cheng, and Zhenghan Wang. Symmetry, defects, and gauging of topological phases. *arXiv:1410.4540*, 2014.

[8] John Barrett and Bruce Westbury. Invariants of piecewise-linear 3-manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 348(10):3997–4022, 1996.

[9] Louis Crane and Igor B. Frenkel. Four-dimensional topological field theory, Hopf categories, and the canonical bases. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 35:5136–5154, 1994.

[10] Louis Crane, Louis H. Kauffman, and David Yetter. Evaluating the Crane-Yetter invariant. In *Quantum Topology*, pages 131–138. World Scientific Press, 1993.

[11] Louis Crane, Louis H Kauffman, and David N Yetter. State-sum invariants of 4-manifolds. *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, 6(02):177–234, 1997.

[12] Louis Crane and David Yetter. A categorical construction of $4D$ topological quantum field theories. *Quantum topology*, 3, 1993.

[13] Sjoerd Erik Crans. *On Combinatorial Models for Higher Dimensional Homotopies*. 1995.

[14] Shawn X Cui, Cesar Galindo, Julia Plavnik, and Zhenghan Wang. On gauging symmetry of modular tensor categories. *To appear in Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2015. arXiv:1510.03475.

[15] Robbert Dijkgraaf and Edward Witten. Topological gauge theories and group cohomology. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 129(2):393–429, 1990.

[16] Vladimir Drinfeld, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. On braided fusion categories I. *Selecta Mathematica*, 16(1):1–119, 2010.

[17] Samuel Eilenberg and G Max Kelly. Closed categories. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Categorical Algebra*, pages 421–562. Springer, 1966.

[18] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. Finite tensor categories. *MOSCOW MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL*, 4(3):627–654, 2004.

[19] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Viktor Ostrik. On fusion categories. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 581–642, 2005.

[20] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Viktor Ostrik. Fusion categories and homotopy theory. *Quantum Topology*, 1(3):209–273, 2010.

[21] Michael H Freedman and Frank Quinn. *Topology of 4-manifolds*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1990.

[22] Robert E Gompf. An infinite set of exotic $\mathbb{R}^4$'s. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 21(2):283–300, 1985.

[23] Mark Hennings. Invariants of links and 3-manifolds obtained from Hopf algebras. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 54(3):594–624, 1996.

[24] M. Kapranov and V. Voevodsky. 2-categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 56:177–260, 1994.

[25] Anton Kapustin and Ryan Thorngren. Higher symmetry and gapped phases of gauge theories. *arXiv:1309.4721*, 2013.

[26] Rinat Kashaev. A simple model of $4d$-TQFT. *arXiv:1405.5763*, 2014.

[27] Christian Kassel. *Quantum groups*, volume 155. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[28] L H Kauffman and D E Radford. Invariants of 3-manifolds derived from finite dimensional Hopf algebras. *Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications*, 4(1):131–162, 1995.

[29] Alexander Kirillov. On G-equivariant modular categories. *arXiv: 0401119*, 2004.

[30] Alexander Kirillov, Jr. Modular categories and orbifold models II. *arXiv:math/0110221*, 2001.

[31] Alexander Kirillov, Jr. Modular categories and orbifold models. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 229(2):309–335, 2002.

[32] Greg Kuperberg. Noninvolutory Hopf algebras and 3-manifold invariants. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 84(1):83–129, 1996.

[33] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Number 170. Princeton University Press, 2009.

[34] Marco Mackaay. Spherical 2-categories and 4-manifold invariants. *Advances in Mathematics*, 143(2):288–348, 1999.

[35] Marco Mackaay. Finite groups, spherical 2-categories, and 4-manifold invariants. *Advances in Mathematics*, 153(2):353–390, 2000.

[36] Michael Müger. On the structure of braided crossed G-categories. *Appendix to Book Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-manifolds by V. Turaev*, 2010.

[37] Udo Pachner. P.L. homeomorphic manifolds are equivalent by elementary shellings. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 12(2):129 – 145, 1991.

[38] Nicolai Reshetikhin and Vladimir G Turaev. Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 103(1):547–597, 1991.

[39] JE Roberts. Refined state-sum invariants of 3-and 4-manifolds. *Geometric Topology (Athens, GA, 1993), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math*, 2:217–234, 1996.

[40] Ross Street. The algebra of oriented simplexes. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 49(3):283–335, 1987.

[41] Zouhair Tamsamani. Equivalence de la théorie homotopique des n-groupoides et celle des espaces topologiques n-tronqués. *arXiv:alg-geom/9607010*, 1996.

[42] Vladimir Turaev. *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-manifolds*. Number 18. Walter de Gruyter, 1994.

[43] Vladimir Turaev. Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories. *arXiv:math/0005291*, 2000.

[44] Vladimir G Turaev and Oleg Ya Viro. State sum invariants of 3-manifolds and quantum 6j-symbols. *Topology*, 31(4):865–902, 1992.

[45] Kevin Walker and Zhenghan Wang. $(3+1)$-TQFTs and topological insulators. *Frontiers of Physics*, 7:150–159, 2012.

[46] Zhenghan Wang. *Topological quantum computation*, volume 112. Amer Mathematical Society, 2010.

[47] Dominic J Williamson and Zhenghan Wang. Hamiltonian realizations of $(3 + 1)$-TQFTs. *arXiv:1606.07144*, 2016.

[48] Edward Witten. Topological quantum field theory. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 117(3):353–386, 1988.

[49] David N Yetter. Homologically twisted invariants related to $(2+1)$-and $(3+1)$-dimensional state-sum topological quantum field theories. *arXiv:hep-th/9311082*, 1993.

[50] David N Yetter. TQFTs from homotopy 2-types. *Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications*, 2(01):113–123, 1993.